arXiv:1512.08712v1 [math.QA] 29 Dec 2015

DOUBLE-BOSONIZATION AND MAJID'S CONJECTURE, (II): CASES OF IRREGULAR R-MATRICES AND TYPE-CROSSINGS OF F_4, G_2

HONGMEI HU AND NAIHONG HU**

Abstract. The purpose of the paper is to build up the related theory of weakly quasitriangular dual pairs suitably for non-standard R-matrices (irregular), and establish the generalized double-bosonization construction theorem for irregular R, which generalize Majid's results for regular R in [M1]. As an application, the type-crossing construction for the exceptional quantum groups of types F_4, G_2 is obtained. This affirms the Majid's expectation that the tree structure of nodes diagram associated with quantum groups can be grown out of the node corresponding to $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ by double-bosonization procedures. Notably from a representation perspective, we find an effective method to get the minimal polynomials for the non-standard R-matrices involved.

1. Introduction

1.1. The charming power of quantum group theory always attracts many mathematicians to find some better way in a suitable framework to understand the structure of quantum groups defined initially by generators and relations. Specially for the quasitriangular Hopf algebras (with universal R-matrices), there are several well-known general constructions, among which main representatives are: (1) The Drinfeld's quantum double theory of any Hopf algebra introduced in [Dr1]. (2) The FRT-construction in [FRT] based on the (standard) R-matrices. (3) The Majid's double-bosonization theory in [M1] (also see Sommerhäuser's construction [So] independently working in a slightly different braided category, i.e., the Yetter-Drinfeld category), which improved the FRT-construction via extending Drinfeld's quantum double to the generalized quantum double associated with dually-paired braided groups (named by Majid) coming from a braided category consisting of the (co-)modules of a (co-)quasitriangular Hopf algebra. Based on his series of earlier work [M2]–[M5], Majid [M1] in 1995 developed the double-bosonization theory to yield a direct construction for

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 16S40, 16W30, 17B37, 18D10; Secondary 17B10, 20G42, 81R50.

Key words and phrases. Double-bosonization, braided category, braided groups (Nichols algebras), type-crossing construction, normalized R-matrix, representations.

** N. H., Corresponding author, supported by the NNSFC (Grant No. 11271131).

$U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ as an application of which one can view the Lusztig's algebra $\mathfrak{f}$ ([L]) as the braided group in a special braided category $\mathfrak{M}_H$ (or ${}_H\mathfrak{M}$), where $(H = kQ = k[K_i^{\pm 1}], A = kQ^\vee)$ is a weakly quasitriangular dual pair (see p. 169 of [M1]), where Q (resp. $Q^\vee$) is a (dual) root lattice of $\mathfrak{g}$. (4) The Rosso's quantum shuffle construction [Ro] (axiomatic approach) (for the entire construction see [HLR]) based on the braidings, which together with Nichols' earlier work [N] has been closely related to the currently fruitful developments of classifications of the pointed Hopf algebras or Nichols algebras (or braided groups in terms of Majid), for instance, see [AS], [AHS], [HS], etc. Let us say more about the double-bosonization below.

1.2. Roughly speaking, Majid's double-bosonization theory is as follows. Associated to any mutually dual braided groups $B^\star, B$ covariant under a underlying quasitriangular Hopf algebra H, there is a new quantum group on the tensor space $B^\star \otimes H \otimes B$ by double bosonization in [M1], consisting of H extended by B as additional positive roots and its dual $B^\star$ as additional negative roots. Specially, Majid regarded $U_q(\mathfrak{n}^\pm)$ as the mutually dual braided groups in a braided category of right (left) H-modules with Hopf "Cartan subalgebra" H. On the other hand, based on a couple of examples of lower rank given in [M1, M6, M7], Majid claimed that many novel quantum groups, as well as the rank-inductive or type-crossing construction (we named) of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ can be obtained in principle by this theory, since he expected his double-bosonization construction would allow to generate a tree of quantum groups and at each node of the tree, there should be many choices to adjoin a certain dually-paired braided groups covariant under a certain quantum subgroup at that node. In fact, it is a challenge to elaborate the full tree structure because it involves some rather complicated technical points needed to be solved from representation theory. Moreover, it is difficult to explore the information encoded in the R-matrix corresponding to a certain representation of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$, for example, the spectral decomposition of R-matrix, etc. By exploiting the well-known information for standard R-matrices (we mean those associated to the vector representations of classical types $ABCD$), the authors in [HH] obtained the rank-inductive construction of quantum groups $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ for classical types in the quantum tree of Majid. Furthermore, can we elucidate the tree structure at the exceptional nodes? That is, *How to construct the exceptional quantum groups via double-bosonization theory?*

1.3. Recall that the starting point of Majid's framework [M1] is to work with the weakly quasitriangular dual pairs of bialgebras $(\widetilde{U(R)}, A(R))$, where $\widetilde{U(R)} := A(R) \bowtie A(R)$ is the double cross product defined by [M5], $A(R)$ is the FRT-bialgebra defined in [FRT]. He could seek the weakly quasitriangular dual pair $(U_q(\mathfrak{g}), O_q(G))$ as the quotient Hopf algebras of $(\widetilde{U(R)}, A(R))$ to establish his double-bosonization Theorem (see Corollary 5.5 [M1]) when R is regular (for definition, see p. 174, [M1]). In [HH], when R is one of standard R-matrices which is regular in the sense of Majid, we can work with a slight different

weakly quasitriangular dual pair $(U_q^{\rm ext}(\mathfrak{g}), \mathcal{O}_q(G))$ that fits into Majid's original framework (Corollary 5.5 [M1]), where $U_q^{\rm ext}(\mathfrak{g})$ is the extended Hopf algebra of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ of classical type, $\mathcal{O}_q(G)$ is the quotient Hopf algebra of $A(R)$, the quantum coordinate algebra on the associated simple Lie group G of classical type (cf. [KS]), so that the braided vector algebra $B = V(R', R) \in {}^{\mathcal{O}_q(G)}\mathfrak{M}$, the braided co-vector algebra $B^* = V^\vee(R', R_{21}^{-1}) \in \mathfrak{M}^{\mathcal{O}_q(G)}$ can be transferred into the originally-required objects in the braided categories $\mathfrak{M}_{U_q^{\rm ext}(\mathfrak{g})}$, ${}_{U_q^{\rm ext}(\mathfrak{g})}\mathfrak{M}$, respectively.

1.4. While for those non-standard R-matrices we encountered when we deal with the type-crossing construction of the exceptional quantum groups, the weakly quasitriangular dual pair of bialgebras $(\widetilde{U(R)}, A(R))$, previously served as the starting point of Maijd's work, has to be replaced. The new weakly quasitriangular dual pair needs to be created since the quantum coordinate algebra $\mathcal{O}_q(G)$ doesn't meet our requirement and its substitute is no longer the quotient of $A(R)$. Such R-matrices are not regular in the sense of Majid, we name them *irregular*. This means that it is desirable to establish the related theory of the weakly quasitriangular dual pairs suitably for irregular R-matrices. Roughly speaking, thanks to Theorem 8 [FRT], we can exploit their defining bialgebra H_R as a suitable candidate, which will be a Hopf algebra when the R involved satisfies the FRT-condition. Also, we can still use the double cross product $\widetilde{U(R)}$ to yield a suitable quotient Hopf algebra $\widehat{U(R)}$ we define. Fortunately, we prove that such a pair $(\widehat{U(R)}, H_R)$ forms a weakly quasitriangular dual pair in the sense of Majid as we expected. When R is standard, $\widehat{U(R)}$ coincides with $U_q^{\rm ext}(\mathfrak{g})$ by [KS], and H_R is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_q(G)$ due to the Remark of Theorem 8 [FRT]. For convenience, we still write $U_q^{\rm ext}(\mathfrak{g})$ instead of $\widehat{U(R)}$. On the other hand, we further argue that H_R is coquasitriangular when R satisfies our more assumption (than the FRT-condition), so that the categories of left (right) comodules over H_R are braided in the sense of Majid and $V(R', R) \in {}^{H_R}\mathfrak{M}$, $V^\vee(R', R_{21}^{-1}) \in \mathfrak{M}^{H_R}$.

1.5. After clarifying the rough destination above, in order to deduce the generalized version of double-bosonization construction theorem suitably for general R-matrices, especially for the double-bosonization inductive construction of the exceptional quantum groups, we have to refine the above framework. Firstly, we need to replace $U_q^{\rm ext}(\mathfrak{g})$ by its central extension object $\widetilde{U_q^{\rm ext}(\mathfrak{g})} := U_q^{\rm ext}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \mathbb{C}[c, c^{-1}]$, and $H_{R_{VV}}$ by $\widetilde{H_{R_{VV}}} := H_{R_{VV}} \otimes \mathbb{C}[g, g^{-1}]$, where R_{VV} is the R-matrix corresponding to a certain chosen irreducible representation of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$. Secondly, to normalize R_{VV} at its certain eigenvalue to gain a quantum group normalization constant λ such that $\langle c, g\rangle = \lambda$ and $(\widetilde{U_q^{\rm ext}(\mathfrak{g})}, \widetilde{H_{R_{VV}}})$ forms a new weakly quasitriangular dual pair of Hopf algebras as we desired. Thirdly, to get the minimal polynomial of the braiding PR_{VV}, from which we achieve the pair (R, R'), where R is determined by the above normalization of R_{VV}, subsequently, R' can be figured out from the minimal polynomial. Notice that

$A(R) \cong A(R_{VV}) \subset H_{R_{VV}} \subset \widetilde{H_{R_{VV}}}$ as bialgebras, we can regard the braided objects $B = V(R', R) \in {}^{\widetilde{A(R)}}\mathfrak{M}$, $B^* = V^\vee(R', R_{21}^{-1}) \in \mathfrak{M}^{\widetilde{A(R)}}$ as the braided objects in ${}^{\widetilde{H_{R_{VV}}}}\mathfrak{M}$ and $\mathfrak{M}^{\widetilde{H_{R_{VV}}}}$, respectively. Via the dual pairing between $\widetilde{U_q^{\mathrm{ext}}(\mathfrak{g})}$ and $\widetilde{H_{R_{VV}}}$, we get $B = V(R', R) \in \mathfrak{M}_{\widetilde{U_q^{\mathrm{ext}}(\mathfrak{g})}}$ and $B^* = V^\vee(R', R_{21}^{-1}) \in {}_{\widetilde{U_q^{\mathrm{ext}}(\mathfrak{g})}}\mathfrak{M}$. This affords us a prerequisite for building the generalized double-bosonization construction Theorem for the irregular R's.

1.6. The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall some basic facts about the FRT-construction [FRT] and the Majid's double-bosonization [M1]. In Section 3, for the irregular R-matrices, we start with the weakly quasitriangular dual pair of bialgebras $(\widetilde{U(R)}, H_R)$ to get the required weakly quasitriangular dual pair of Hopf algebras $(\widehat{U(R)}, H_R)$ when the R involved meets certain strict conditions. Finally, we establish the generalized double-bosonization construction theorem for irregular R's. Section 4 is devoted to applying this theorem to give the type-crossing double-bosonization constructions of $U_q(F_4)$ and $U_q(G_2)$. First of all, in order to work out the construction of $U_q(F_4)$, we start from the nodes diagram associated to $U_q(B_3)$ and its 8-dimensional spin representation T_V, and prove that the braiding $\hat{R}_{VV}$ is of diagonal type and find an ingenious method to figure out its minimal polynomial, which captures/depends on some features of the defining representation rather than the whole information of R-matrix itself. Consequently, making the normalization for R_{VV} at a certain eigenvalue of its braiding to get the pair (R, R') from which determine our required dually-paired braided groups, we prove the quantum group we constructed is just $U_q(F_4)$. Next, for constructing $U_q(G_2)$, we begin with $U_q(A_1)$ and its spin $\frac{3}{2}$ representation, which is built on the 4-dimensional homogeneous submodule of degree 3 in the $U_q(sl_2)$-module algebra (cf. [H]) $\mathcal{A}_q = \mathbb{C}_q\langle x, y\rangle$ with $yx = qxy$ (namely, the Manin's quantum plane). Similar information necessary for $U_q(G_2)$ is provided. We believe that the results and methods of this paper will be useful for seeking new quantum groups or Hopf algebras arising from various Nichols algebras currently focused on by many Hopf algebraists (for instance, see [AHS], [AS], [CL], [HS], etc. and references therein).

2. Preliminaries

Let us fix some general notation which will be kept throughout this paper. The letters $\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{Z}$ always stand for the complex field, the set of integer numbers, respectively. $0 \neq q \in \mathbb{C}$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, then

$$[n]_q = \frac{q^n - q^{-n}}{q - q^{-1}}, \quad [n]_q! = \prod_{k=1}^{n} [k]_q, \quad \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q = \frac{[n]_q!}{[n-k]_q![k]_q!}.$$

Let $\mathbb{R}$ be the real field, E the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^n$ or a suitable subspace. Denote by ε_i's the usual orthogonal unit vectors in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a finite dimensional complex simple Lie

algebra with simple roots α_i's, λ_i the fundamental weight corresponding to simple root α_i. Cartan matrix of $\mathfrak{g}$ is (a_{ij}), where $a_{ij} = \frac{2(\alpha_i,\alpha_j)}{(\alpha_i,\alpha_i)}$, and $d_i = \frac{(\alpha_i,\alpha_i)}{2}$. Let $(H, \mathscr{R})$ be a quasitriangular Hopf algebra, where $\mathscr{R}$ is the universal R-matrix, $\mathscr{R} = \mathscr{R}^{(1)} \otimes \mathscr{R}^{(2)}$, $\mathscr{R}_{21} = \mathscr{R}^{(2)} \otimes \mathscr{R}^{(1)}$. Denote by $\Delta, \eta, \epsilon, S$ its coproduct, counit, unit, and antipode of H, respectively. We shall use Sweedler's notation: $\Delta(h) = h_1 \otimes h_2$, for $h \in H$. $H^{\rm op}$ ($H^{\rm cop}$) denotes the opppsite (co)algebra structure of H. $\mathfrak{M}_H$ (${}_H\mathfrak{M}$) denotes the braided category consisting of right (left) H-modules. If $(A, \mathfrak{r})$ is a coquasitriangular Hopf algebra A, where $\mathfrak{r}$ is the universal r-form of A, then ${}^A\mathfrak{M}$ ($\mathfrak{M}^A$) denotes the braided category consisting of left (right) A-comodules. For a detailed description of these theories, we left the readers to refer to Drinfeld's and Majid's papers [Dr2], [M2], [M3], and so on. By a braided group we mean a braided bialgebra or Hopf algebra in some braided category. In order to distinguish from the ordinary Hopf algebras, we denote by $\underline{\Delta}, \underline{S}$ its coproduct and antipode, respectively.

2.1. **FRT-construction.** An invertible solution of the quantum Yang-Baxter equation (in brief, QYBE) $R_{12}R_{13}R_{23} = R_{23}R_{13}R_{12}$ is called R-matrix. There is a bialgebra $A(R)$ [FRT] corresponding to any invertible R-matrix, called the FRT-bialgebra.

Definition 2.1. *$A(R)$ is generated by 1 and $t = \{t^i_j\}$, having the following structure:*

$$RT_1T_2 = T_2T_1R, \quad \Delta(T) = T \otimes T, \quad \epsilon(T) = I \ (\textit{unit matrix}).$$

$A(R)$ is a coquasitriangular bialgebra with $\mathscr{R} : A(R) \otimes A(R) \longrightarrow k$ such that $\mathscr{R}(t^i_j \otimes t^k_l) = R^{ik}_{jl}$. Here $T_1 = T \otimes I$, $T_2 = I \otimes T$, R^{ik}_{jl} denotes the entry at row (ik) and column (jl) in R.

There is a general procedure to construct the Hopf algebra H_R associated with the FRT-bialgebra $A(R)$ in [FRT]. The algebra H_R is generated by 1 and $t^i_j, \widetilde{t}^i_j, i, j = 1, \cdots, n$, with the relations

$$RT_1T_2 = T_2T_1R, \quad R^t\widetilde{T}_1\widetilde{T}_2 = \widetilde{T}_2\widetilde{T}_1R^t, \quad (R^{t_2})^{-1}T_1\widetilde{T}_2 = \widetilde{T}_2T_1(R^{t_2})^{-1}, \tag{1}$$

where $(R^t)^{ij}_{kl} = R^{kl}_{ij}$, $(R^{t_1})^{ij}_{kl} = R^{kj}_{il}$, $(R^{t_2})^{ij}_{kl} = R^{il}_{kj}$. Specially, assume that R^{t_2} is nonsingular and

$$(R^{-1})^{t_1}P(R^{t_2})^{-1}PK_0 = \text{const} \cdot K_0, \tag{2}$$

where P is the permutation matrix with the entry $P^{ij}_{kl} = \delta_{il}\delta_{jk}$, "const" means a diagonal matrix consisting of constants, and $(K_0)^{ij}_{kl} := \delta_{ij}\delta_{kl}$, then H_R is a Hopf algebra with the structure maps

$$\Delta(T) = T \otimes T, \quad \Delta(\widetilde{T}) = \widetilde{T} \otimes \widetilde{T}, \quad \epsilon(T) = \epsilon(\widetilde{T}) = I; \quad S(T) = (\tilde{T})^t, \quad S(\tilde{T}) = DT^tD^{-1}.$$

Here $D = \mathrm{tr}_2(P((R^{t_2})^{-1})^{t_1}) \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$, and tr_2 denotes matrix trace in the second factor in the tensor product $\mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^n$. In particular, for the standard R-matrices, H_R's are isomorphic to quantum coordinate functions algebras $\mathcal{O}_q(G)$'s on the corresponding Lie groups G (see

the Remark of Theorem 8 [FRT]). We will consider those Hopf algebras H_R for the general R-matrices in the next section.

In the braided category ${}^{A(R)}\mathfrak{M}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{M}^{A(R)}$), there are two classical braided groups $V(R', R)$ and $V^{\vee}(R', R_{21}^{-1})$ in [M4], called the braided (co-)vector algebras, where R' is another matrix satisfying

$$R_{12}R_{13}R'_{23} = R'_{23}R_{13}R_{12}, \qquad R_{23}R_{13}R'_{12} = R'_{12}R_{13}R_{23}, \tag{3}$$

$$(PR+1)(PR'-1) = 0, \qquad R_{21}R'_{12} = R'_{21}R_{12}. \tag{4}$$

The braided vector algebra $V(R', R)$ defined as a quadratic algebra with generators $1, \{e^i \mid i = 1, \cdots, n\}$ and relations $e^i e^j = \sum\limits_{a,b} R'^{ji}_{ab} e^a e^b$, forms a braided group with $\underline{\Delta}(e^i) = e^i \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes e^i, \underline{\epsilon}(e^i) = 0, \underline{S}(e^i) = -e^i, \Psi(e^i \otimes e^j) = \sum\limits_{a,b} R^{ji}_{ab} e^a \otimes e^b$ in braided category ${}^{A(R)}\mathfrak{M}$. Under the duality $\langle f_j, e^i \rangle = \delta_{ij}$, the braided co-vector algebra $V^{\vee}(R', R_{21}^{-1})$ defined by $1, \{f_j \mid j = 1, \cdots, n\}$, and relations $f_i f_j = \sum\limits_{a,b} f_b f_a R'^{ab}_{ij}$ forms another braided group with $\underline{\Delta}(f_i) = f_i \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes f_i, \underline{\epsilon}(f_i) = 0, \underline{S}(f_i) = -f_i, \Psi(f_i \otimes f_j) = \sum\limits_{a,b} f_b \otimes f_a R^{ab}_{ij}$ in braided category $\mathfrak{M}^{A(R)}$.

Remark 2.1. *In fact, when we know the minimal polynomial equation $\prod_i (PR - x_i) = 0$ of the braiding PR, we can normalize R at a certain eigenvalue x_i of PR so that $x_i = -1$, then set $R' = P + P \prod\limits_{j \neq i} (PR - x_j)$. This gives us a way to get the pair (R, R') that satisfy conditions (3) and (4).*

2.2. **Majid's double-bosonization.** Let C, B be a pair of braided groups in $\mathfrak{M}_H$, which are called dually paired if there is an intertwiner $\mathrm{ev} : C \otimes B \longrightarrow k$ with $\mathrm{ev}(cd, b) = \mathrm{ev}(d, b_{\underline{(1)}})\mathrm{ev}(c, b_{\underline{(2)}})$, $\mathrm{ev}(c, ab) = \mathrm{ev}(c_{\underline{(2)}}, a)\mathrm{ev}(c_{\underline{(1)}}, b)$, $\forall a, b \in B, c, d \in C$. Then $C^{\mathrm{op/cop}}$ (with opposite product and coproduct) is a Hopf algebra in ${}_H\mathfrak{M}$, which is dual to B in the sense of an ordinary duality pairing $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$ being H-bicovariant. Let $\overline{C} = (C^{\mathrm{op/cop}})^{\underline{\mathrm{cop}}}$, then $\overline{C}$ is a braided group in ${}_{\overline{H}}\mathfrak{M}$, where $\overline{H}$ is $(H, \mathscr{R}_{21}^{-1})$. With these, Majid gave the following double-bosonization theorem and some results in [M1]:

Theorem 2.1. (**Majid**) *On the tensor space $\overline{C} \otimes H \otimes B$, there is a unique Hopf algebra structure $U = U(\overline{C}, H, B)$ such that $H \ltimes B$ (bosonization) and $\overline{C} \rtimes H$ (bosonization) are sub-Hopf algebras by the canonical inclusions and*

$$bc = (\mathscr{R}_1^{(2)} \triangleright c_{\overline{(2)}})\mathscr{R}_2^{(2)}\mathscr{R}_1^{-(1)}(b_{\underline{(2)}} \triangleleft \mathscr{R}_2^{-(1)})\langle \mathscr{R}_1^{(1)} \triangleright c_{\overline{(1)}}, b_{\underline{(1)}} \triangleleft \mathscr{R}_2^{(1)} \rangle \cdot \langle \mathscr{R}_1^{-(2)} \triangleright \overline{S} c_{\overline{(3)}}, b_{\underline{(3)}} \triangleleft \mathscr{R}_2^{-(2)} \rangle,$$

for all $b \in B$, $c \in \overline{C}$ viewed in U. The product, coproduct of U are given by

$$(c \otimes h \otimes b) \cdot (d \otimes g \otimes a) = c(h_{(1)}\mathscr{R}_1^{(2)} \triangleright d_{\overline{(2)}}) \otimes h_{(2)}\mathscr{R}_2^{(2)}\mathscr{R}_1^{-(1)} g_{(1)} \otimes (b_{\underline{(2)}} \triangleleft \mathscr{R}_2^{-(1)} g_{(2)})a$$
$$\langle \mathscr{R}_1^{(1)} \triangleright d_{\overline{(1)}}, b_{\underline{(1)}} \triangleleft \mathscr{R}_2^{(1)} \rangle \langle \mathscr{R}_1^{-(2)} \triangleright \overline{S} d_{\overline{(3)}}, b_{\underline{(3)}} \triangleleft \mathscr{R}_2^{-(2)} \rangle;$$

$$\Delta(c \otimes h \otimes b) = c_{\overline{(1)}} \otimes \mathscr{R}^{-(1)} h_{(1)} \otimes b_{\underline{(1)}} \triangleleft \mathscr{R}^{(1)} \otimes \mathscr{R}^{-(2)} \triangleright c_{\overline{(2)}} \otimes h_{(2)} \mathscr{R}^{(2)} \otimes b_{\underline{(2)}}.$$

Moreover, the antipodes of $H \ltimes B$ and $\overline{C} \rtimes H$ can be extended to an antipode $S : U \to U$ by the two extensions $S(chb) = (Sb) \cdot (S(ch))$ and $S(chb) = (S(hb)) \cdot (Sc)$.

Here, $\triangleright, \triangleleft$ refer to left, right actions respectively, $\mathscr{R}_1, \mathscr{R}_2$ are distinct copies of the quasitriangular structure $\mathscr{R}$ of H.

Moreover, Majid [M1] proposed the concept of a weakly quasitriangular dual pair via his insight on more examples on matched pairs of bialgebras or Hopf algebras in [M5].

Definition 2.2. *Let (H, A) be a pair of Hopf algebras equipped with a dual pairing $\langle , \rangle$ and convolution-invertible algebra/anti-coalgebra maps $\mathscr{R}, \overline{\mathscr{R}} : A \to H$ obeying*

$$\langle \overline{\mathscr{R}}(a), b\rangle = \langle \mathscr{R}^{-1}(b), a\rangle, \quad \partial^R h = \mathscr{R} * (\partial^L h) * \mathscr{R}^{-1}, \quad \partial^R h = \overline{\mathscr{R}} * (\partial^L h) * \overline{\mathscr{R}}^{-1}$$

for $a, b \in A$, $h \in H$. Here $*$ is the convolution product in $hom(A, H)$ and $(\partial^L h)(a) = \langle h_{(1)}, a\rangle h_{(2)}$, $(\partial^R h)(a) = h_{(1)}\langle h_{(2)}, a\rangle$ are left, right "differentiation operators" regarded as maps $A \to H$ for any fixed h.

For convenience, we give in brief the definition of coquasitriangular Hopf algebra.

Definition 2.3. *A coquasitriangular Hopf algebra is a Hopf algebra A equipped with a linear form $\mathfrak{r} : A \otimes A \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that the following conditions hold:*

(i) $\mathfrak{r}$ is invertible with respect to the convolution, that is, there exists another linear form $\overline{\mathfrak{r}} : A \otimes A \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $\mathfrak{r}\overline{\mathfrak{r}} = \overline{\mathfrak{r}}\mathfrak{r} = \epsilon \otimes \epsilon$ on $A \otimes A$,

(ii) $\mathfrak{r} \circ (\cdot \otimes id) = \mathfrak{r}_{13} * \mathfrak{r}_{23}, \quad \mathfrak{r} \circ (id \otimes \cdot) = \mathfrak{r}_{13} * \mathfrak{r}_{12}, \quad \cdot \circ \tau = \mathfrak{r} * \cdot * \mathfrak{r}^{-1}.$

Remark 2.2. *If there exists a coquasitriangular Hopf algebra A such that (H, A) is a weakly quasitriangular dual pair, and b, c are primitive elements. Then many cross relations in the above theorem can be simplified, for example,*

$$[b, c] = \mathscr{R}(b^{\overline{(1)}})\langle c, b^{\overline{(2)}}\rangle - \langle c^{\overline{(1)}}, b\rangle \overline{\mathscr{R}}(c^{\overline{(2)}});$$

$$\Delta b = b^{\overline{(2)}} \otimes \mathscr{R}(b^{\overline{(1)}}) + 1 \otimes b, \quad \Delta c = c \otimes 1 + \overline{\mathscr{R}}(c^{\overline{(2)}}) \otimes c^{\overline{(1)}}.$$

3. Generalized Double-bosonization Construction Theorem

Throughout the following sections, let T_V be an irreducible representation of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ or the h-adic Drinfeld-Jimbo algebra $U_h(\mathfrak{g})$ with V a finite-dimensional vector space.

3.1. **The universal R-matrix and L-functionals of $U_h(\mathfrak{g})$.** In order to provide an explicit expression for the universal R-matrix $\mathcal{R}$ of $U_h(\mathfrak{g})$, the key step is to determine dual bases of the h-adic vector spaces $U_h(\mathfrak{b}_+)$ and $\tilde{U}_h(\mathfrak{b}_-) := \mathbb{C}[[h]] \cdot 1 + hU_h(\mathfrak{b}_-)$ with respect to the bilinear form $\langle\ ,\ \rangle'$:

$$\langle H_i, \tilde{H}_j\rangle' = d_i^{-1}a_{ji}, \quad \langle H_j, \tilde{F}_i\rangle' = \langle E_j, \tilde{H}_i\rangle' = 0, \quad \langle E_i, \tilde{F}_j\rangle' = \delta_{ij}\frac{h}{e^{d_ih} - e^{-d_ih}}, \tag{5}$$

where $\tilde{H}_i := hH_i$, $\tilde{F}_i = hH_i$ and $\langle aa', b\rangle' = \langle a', b_{(1)}\rangle'\langle a, b_{(2)}\rangle'$, $\langle a, bb'\rangle' = \langle a_{(1)}, b\rangle'\langle a_{(2)}, b'\rangle'$. To do this, there is the following Lemma 3.1.

Lemma 3.1. *If a positive root β and a simple root α_i of $\mathfrak{g}$ belong to the same Weyl group W-orbit, and they satisfy*

$$\langle E_\beta, \tilde{F}_\beta\rangle' = \langle E_i, \tilde{F}_i\rangle' = \frac{h}{q_i - q_i^{-1}}, \tag{6}$$

then using the above relations (5), the dual bases of $U_h(\mathfrak{b}_+)$ and $\tilde{U}_h(\mathfrak{b}_-)$ are obtained.

These dual bases are denoted by e_i resp. f_i, and then $\mathcal{R}_D := \sum_i (1 \otimes e_i) \otimes (f_i \otimes 1)$ is a universal R-matrix of quantum double $D(U_h(\mathfrak{b}_+), \tilde{U}_h(\mathfrak{b}_-))$. Taking advantage of the canonical homomorphism π of $D(U_h(\mathfrak{b}_+), \tilde{U}_h(\mathfrak{b}_-))$ to $U_h(\mathfrak{g})$, then $\mathcal{R} := (\pi \otimes \pi)\mathcal{R}_D$ is a universal R-matrix of $U_h(\mathfrak{g})$. Especially, the root elements E_β and F_β, obtained by Lusztig's automorphism T_i's [L], satisfy the condition (6), and then an corresponding explicit form of the universal R-matrix is [KS]:

$$\mathcal{R} = \exp(h\sum_{i,j} B_{ij}(H_i \otimes H_j)) \prod_{\beta\in\Delta_+} \exp_{q_\beta}((1 - q_\beta^{-2})(E_\beta \otimes F_\beta)). \tag{7}$$

Here the matrix (B_{ij}) is the inverse of the matrix $(C_{ij}) = (d_j^{-1}a_{ij})$, the q-exponential function $\exp_q x$ is defined by $\exp_q x = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{\frac{r(r+1)}{2}}}{[r]_q!}x^r$.

On the other hand, corresponding to an irreducible representation T_V of $U_h(\mathfrak{g})$, there are uniquely determined elements $l_{ij}^{\pm} \in U_h(\mathfrak{g})$ such that

$$(\mathrm{id} \otimes T_V)(\mathcal{R})(1 \otimes v_j) = \sum_i l_{ij}^+ \otimes v_i,$$

$$(T_V \otimes \mathrm{id})(\mathcal{R}^{-1})(v_j \otimes 1) = (T_V \otimes \mathrm{id})(S \otimes \mathrm{id})(\mathcal{R})(v_j \otimes 1) = \sum_i v_i \otimes l_{ij}^-,$$

These $l_{ij}^{\pm}$ are called L-functionals associated with the representation T_V [KS]. Moreover, these matrices $\mathrm{L}^{\pm} = (l_{ij}^{\pm})$ generate a bialgebra, denoted by $U(\mathrm{L}^{\pm})$, satisfying the following relations

$$\mathrm{L}_1^{\pm}\mathrm{L}_2^{\pm}R_{VV} = R_{VV}\mathrm{L}_2^{\pm}\mathrm{L}_1^{\pm}, \quad \mathrm{L}_1^{+}\mathrm{L}_2^{-}R_{VV} = R_{VV}\mathrm{L}_2^{-}\mathrm{L}_1^{+}, \quad \Delta(\mathrm{L}^{\pm}) = \mathrm{L}^{\pm} \otimes \mathrm{L}^{\pm}, \quad \epsilon(\mathrm{L}^{\pm}) = I.$$

3.2. ***R*-matrices for representations of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$.** By a certain algebra automorphism of the completion $\bar{U}_q^+(\mathfrak{g})\bar{\otimes}\bar{U}_q^+(\mathfrak{g})$ (see P.264 in [KS]), the above universal R-matrix (7) can yield a universal R-matrix of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$, that is,

$$\mathfrak{R} = \sum_{r_1,\cdots,r_n=0}^{\infty} \prod_{j=1}^{n} \frac{(1-q_{\beta_j}^{-2})^{r_j}}{[r_j]_{q_{\beta_j}}!} q_{\beta_j}^{\frac{r_j(r_j+1)}{2}} E_{\beta_j}^{r_j} \otimes F_{\beta_j}^{r_j}.$$

Then the R-matrix datum R_{VV} is obtained by $R_{VV} = B_{VV} \circ (T_V \otimes T_V)(\mathfrak{R})$, where B_{VV} denotes the linear operator on $V \otimes V$ given by $B_{VV}(v \otimes w) := q^{(\mu,\mu')} v \otimes w$, for $v \in V_\mu$, $w \in V_{\mu'}$. We can take a basis $\{v_i\}$ of V, $i = 1, \cdots, \dim V$, then

$$B_{VV} \circ (T_V \otimes T_V)(\mathfrak{R})(v_i \otimes v_j) = R_{VV}{}_{ji}^{mn}(v_n \otimes v_m). \tag{8}$$

It need to pay attention to that the R-matrix in Majid's paper [M1] is the $P \circ \cdot \circ P$ of the ordinary R-matrix. In general, $B_{VV} \circ (T_V \otimes T_V)(\mathfrak{R})(v_i \otimes v_j) = R_{VV}{}_{ij}^{nm}(v_n \otimes v_m)$ in some other references. However, $P \circ R \circ P = R^t$ when PR is symmetric, so then we write occasionally $B_{VV} \circ (T_V \otimes T_V)(\mathfrak{R})(v_i \otimes v_j) = R_{VV}{}_{nm}^{ij}(v_n \otimes v_m)$ for convenience. Notice that we will use Majid's form of R-matrix in the remaining sections of this paper.

3.3. **The extended Hopf algebra $U_q^{\mathbf{ext}}(\mathfrak{g})$.** Majid gave the concept of "weak antipodes" for the dually paired bialgebras in [M5]. Dually paired bialgebras H_1, H_2 are said to possess weak antipodes if the canonical maps $H_1 \longrightarrow H_2^*$ and $H_2 \longrightarrow H_1^*$ defined by the pairing are invertible in the convolution algebras $\mathrm{Hom}(H_1, H_2^*)$ and $\mathrm{Hom}(H_2, H_1^*)$ respectively. These weak antipodes are denoted by $\mathbb{S}_{H_1}, \mathbb{S}_{H_2}$. On the other hand, Majid defined $\widetilde{U(R)} = A(R) \bowtie A(R)$ the double cross product bialgebra constructed in [M5]. It consists of two copies of $A(R)$ generated by 1 and $m^{\pm}$ with the cross relations and coalgebra structure:

$$Rm_1^{\pm}m_2^{\pm} = m_2^{\pm}m_1^{\pm}R, \quad Rm_1^{+}m_2^{-} = m_2^{-}m_1^{+}R, \quad \Delta((m^{\pm})_j^i) = (m^{\pm})_j^a \otimes (m^{\pm})_a^i, \quad \epsilon((m^{\pm})_j^i) = \delta_{ij}.$$

For any invertible R-matrix R, the bialgebras $\widetilde{U(R)}$ and FRT-bialgebra $A(R)$ are dually-paired by $\langle (m^+)_j^i, t_l^k \rangle = R_{jl}^{ik}$, $\langle (m^-)_j^i, t_l^k \rangle = (R^{-1})_{lj}^{ki}$ in [M1], moreover, we have the following (revised version of [M5])

Proposition 3.1. *Let R be an invertible matrix solution of the QYBE. If matrices R^{t_2} and $(R^{-1})^{t_2}$ are also invertible, and set $\widetilde{R} = ((R^{t_2})^{-1})^{t_2}$, $\widetilde{R^{-1}} = [((R^{-1})^{t_2})^{-1}]^{t_2}$, then the dual pairing $(\widetilde{U(R)}, A(R))$ possesses weak antipodes, which are defined by*

$$(\mathbb{S}_{A(R)} t_l^k)((m^+)_j^i) = (\mathbb{S}_{\widetilde{U(R)}}(m^+)_j^i)(t_l^k) = \widetilde{R}_{jl}^{ik} = ((R^{t_2})^{-1})_{jk}^{il}, \tag{9}$$

$$(\mathbb{S}_{A(R)} t_l^k)(m^-)_j^i) = (\mathbb{S}_{\widetilde{U(R)}}(m^-)_j^i)(t_l^k) = \widetilde{R^{-1}}_{lj}^{ki} = [((R^{-1})^{t_2})^{-1}]_{li}^{kj}. \tag{10}$$

Their equivalent expressions in matrices are

$$(\mathbb{S}_{A(R)}t_2)(m_1^+) = (\mathbb{S}_{\widetilde{U(R)}}m_1^+)(t_2) = \widetilde{R},$$
$$(\mathbb{S}_{A(R)}t_2)(m_1^-) = (\mathbb{S}_{\widetilde{U(R)}}m_1^-)(t_2) = P \circ \widetilde{R^{-1}} \circ P.$$

Proof. The corresponding canonical maps for the dual pair $(\widetilde{U(R)}, A(R))$ are

$$j_{A(R)}(t_j^i) = \langle \cdot, t_j^i \rangle \in (\widetilde{U(R)})^*, \quad j_{\widetilde{U(R)}}((m^\pm)_j^i) = \langle (m^\pm)_j^i, \cdot \rangle \in (A(R))^*.$$

The units in the convolution algebras $\mathrm{Hom}(A(R), (\widetilde{U(R)})^*)$ and $\mathrm{Hom}(\widetilde{U(R)}, (A(R))^*)$ are $\eta_{(\widetilde{U(R)})^*} \circ \epsilon_{A(R)}$ and $\eta_{(A(R))^*} \circ \epsilon_{\widetilde{U(R)}}$, respectively, and

$$\begin{aligned}[(\eta_{(\widetilde{U(R)})^*} \circ \epsilon_{A(R)})(t_j^i)](m^\pm)_l^k) &= \epsilon_{A(R)}(t_j^i)\langle 1, (m^\pm)_l^k \rangle = \epsilon_{A(R)}(t_j^i)\epsilon_{\widetilde{U(R)}}((m^\pm)_l^k) \\ &= \delta_{ij}\delta_{kl} = I_{jl}^{ik}.\end{aligned}$$

$(\eta_{(A(R))^*} \circ \epsilon_{\widetilde{U(R)}})((m^\pm)_j^i)(t_l^k) = I_{jl}^{ik}$ can also be obtained. For any t_j^i and $(m^\pm)_l^k$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}[(\mathbb{S}_{A(R)} * j_{A(R)})(t_j^i)](m^+)_l^k) &= [\mathbb{S}_{A(R)}(t_a^i) j_{A(R)}(t_j^a)](m^+)_l^k) \\ &= (\mathbb{S}_{A(R)}(t_a^i))((m^+)_l^b)(j_{A(R)}(t_j^a))((m^+)_b^k) \\ &= (\mathbb{S}_{A(R)}(t_a^i))((m^+)_l^b)\langle (m^+)_b^k, t_j^a \rangle \\ &= (R^{t_2})^{-1}{}_{li}^{ba} R_{bj}^{ka} = (R^{t_2})^{-1}{}_{li}^{ba} R^{t_2}{}_{ba}^{kj} \\ &= (R^{t_2}(R^{t_2})^{-1})_{li}^{kj} = I_{li}^{kj} = \delta_{ij}\delta_{kl} \\ &= [(\eta_{(\widetilde{U(R)})^*} \circ \epsilon_{A(R)})(t_j^i)](m^+)_l^k).\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

$$\begin{aligned}[(\mathbb{S}_{A(R)} * j_{A(R)})(t_j^i)](m^-)_l^k) &= [\mathbb{S}_{A(R)}(t_a^i) j_{A(R)}(t_j^a)](m^-)_l^k) \\ &= (\mathbb{S}_{A(R)}(t_a^i))((m^-)_l^b)(j_{A(R)}(t_j^a)((m^-)_b^k) \\ &= (\mathbb{S}_{A(R)}(t_a^i))((m^-)_l^b)\langle (m^-)_b^k, t_j^a \rangle \\ &= ((R^{-1})^{t_2})^{-1}{}_{li}^{ba}(R^{-1})_{jb}^{ak} = ((R^{-1})^{t_2})^{-1}{}_{ab}^{il}(R^{-1})^{t_2}{}_{jk}^{ab} \\ &= ((R^{-1})^{t_2})^{-1}(R^{-1})^{t_2}{}_{jk}^{il} = I_{jk}^{il} = \delta_{ij}\delta_{kl} \\ &= [(\eta_{(\widetilde{U(R)})^*} \circ \epsilon_{A(R)})(t_j^i)](m^-)_l^k).\end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

$$\begin{aligned}[(j_{A(R)} * \mathbb{S}_{A(R)})(t_j^i)](m^+)_l^k) &= [(j_{A(R)})(t_a^i)\mathbb{S}_{A(R)}(t_j^a)](m^+)_l^k) \\ &= (j_{A(R)})(t_a^i))((m^+)_l^b)(\mathbb{S}_{A(R)}(t_j^a))((m^+)_b^k) \\ &= \langle (m^+)_l^b, t_a^i \rangle \mathbb{S}_{A(R)}(t_j^a)((m^+)_b^k) \\ &= R_{la}^{bi}(R^{t_2})^{-1}{}_{ba}^{kj} = R_{li}^{ba}(R^{t_2})^{-1}{}_{ba}^{kj} \\ &= ((R^{t_2})^{-1}R^{t_2})_{li}^{kj} = I_{li}^{kj} = \delta_{ij}\delta_{kl} \\ &= [(\eta_{(\widetilde{U(R)})^*} \circ \epsilon_{A(R)})(t_j^i)](m^+)_l^k).\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
[(j_{A(R)} * \mathbb{S}_{A(R)})(t_j^i)]((m^-)_l^k) &= [(j_{A(R)})(t_a^i)\mathbb{S}_{A(R)}(t_j^a)]((m^-)_l^k) \\
&= (j_{A(R)})(t_a^i))((m^-)_l^b)(\mathbb{S}_{A(R)}(t_j^a))((m^-)_b^k) \\
&= \langle (m^-)_l^b, t_a^i\rangle \mathbb{S}_{A(R)}(t_j^a)((m^-)_b^k) \\
&= (R^{-1})_{al}^{ib}((R^{-1})^{t_2})^{-1}{}_{jk}^{ab} = ((R^{-1})^{t_2})_{ab}^{il}((R^{-1})^{t_2})^{-1}{}_{jk}^{ab} \\
&= ((R^{-1})^{t_2}((R^{-1})^{t_2})^{-1})_{jk}^{il} = I_{jk}^{il} = \delta_{ij}\delta_{kl} \\
&= [(\eta_{(\widehat{U(R)})^*} \circ \epsilon_{A(R)})(t_j^i)]((m^-)_l^k).
\end{aligned} \tag{14}
$$

In view of equalities (11)–(14), we obtain

$$
\mathbb{S}_{A(R)} * j_{A(R)} = j_{A(R)} * \mathbb{S}_{A(R)} = \eta_{(\widehat{U(R)})^*} \circ \epsilon_{A(R)}. \tag{15}
$$

We obtain the following equalities in a similar way.

$$
\begin{aligned}
[(\mathbb{S}_{\widehat{U(R)}} * j_{\widehat{U(R)}})((m^+)_l^k)](t_j^i) &= (\mathbb{S}_{\widehat{U(R)}}((m^+)_l^b)(t_a^i))(j_{\widehat{U(R)}}((m^+)_b^k))(t_j^a) \\
&= (R^{t_2})^{-1}{}_{li}^{ba} R^{t_2}{}_{ba}^{kj} = I_{li}^{kj} = \delta_{ij}\delta_{kl} \\
&= [(\eta_{(A(R))^*} \circ \epsilon_{\widehat{U(R)}})((m^+)_l^k)](t_j^i).
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
[(j_{\widehat{U(R)}} * \mathbb{S}_{\widehat{U(R)}})((m^+)_l^k)](t_j^i) &= (j_{\widehat{U(R)}})((m^+)_l^b))(t_a^i)(\mathbb{S}_{\widehat{U(R)}}((m^+)_b^k))(t_j^a) \\
&= (R^{t_2})_{li}^{ba}(R^{t_2})^{-1}{}_{ba}^{kj} = I_{li}^{kj} = \delta_{ij}\delta_{kl} \\
&= [(\eta_{(A(R))^*} \circ \epsilon_{\widehat{U(R)}})((m^+)_l^k)](t_j^i).
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
[(\mathbb{S}_{\widehat{U(R)}} * j_{\widehat{U(R)}})((m^-)_l^k)](t_j^i) &= (\mathbb{S}_{\widehat{U(R)}}((m^-)_l^b))(t_a^i)(j_{\widehat{U(R)}}((m^-)_b^k)(t_j^a) \\
&= ((R^{-1})^{t_2})^{-1}{}_{ab}^{il}(R^{-1})^{t_2}{}_{jk}^{ab} = I_{jk}^{il} = \delta_{ij}\delta_{kl} \\
&= [(\eta_{(A(R))^*} \circ \epsilon_{\widehat{U(R)}})((m^-)_l^k)](t_j^i).
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
[(j_{\widehat{U(R)}} * \mathbb{S}_{\widehat{U(R)}})((m^-)_l^k)](t_j^i) &= (j_{\widehat{U(R)}})((m^-)_l^b))(t_a^i)(\mathbb{S}_{\widehat{U(R)}}((m^-)_b^k))(t_j^a) \\
&= ((R^{-1})^{t_2})_{ab}^{il}((R^{-1})^{t_2})^{-1}{}_{jk}^{ab} = I_{jk}^{il} = \delta_{ij}\delta_{kl} \quad \text{Then we get} \\
&= [(\eta_{(A(R))^*} \circ \epsilon_{\widehat{U(R)}})((m^-)_l^k)](t_j^i).
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\mathbb{S}_{\widehat{U(R)}} * j_{\widehat{U(R)}} = j_{\widehat{U(R)}} * \mathbb{S}_{\widehat{U(R)}} = \eta_{(A(R))^*} \circ \epsilon_{\widehat{U(R)}}. \tag{16}
$$

According to relations (15) and (16), we prove that $\mathbb{S}_{\widehat{U(R)}}, \mathbb{S}_{A(R)}$ defined by (9) in the Proposition are actually the weak antipodes of the dual pairing $(\widehat{U(R)}, A(R))$. □

Now, we are only concerned with the bialgebra $\widehat{U(R)}$ generated by the upper (lower) triangular matrices m^+ (m^-) for any invertible upper triangular matrix $n^2 \times n^2$ R-matrix R. Starting from $\widehat{U(R)}$, we give the following

Definition 3.1. *Define $\widehat{U(R)}$ as the quotient algebra of $\widehat{U(R)}$ modulo the biideal D generated by*

$$
(m^+)_i^i(m^-)_i^i - 1, \quad (m^-)_i^i(m^+)_i^i - 1, \qquad i = 1, 2, \cdots n.
$$

The generators of $\widehat{U(R)}$ are still denoted by $m^{\pm}$ without confusion.

Specially, for the quotient algebra $\widehat{U(R)}$, we have

Theorem 3.1. *$\widehat{U(R)}$ obviously satisfies the following relations:*

$$Rm_1^{\pm}m_2^{\pm} = m_2^{\pm}m_1^{\pm}R, \quad Rm_1^{+}m_2^{-} = m_2^{-}m_1^{+}R, \tag{17}$$

$$(m^{+})_i^j(m^{-})_i^j = (m^{-})_i^j(m^{+})_i^j = 1, \quad i = 1, 2, \cdots, n. \tag{18}$$

Moreover, $\widehat{U(R)}$ is a Hopf algebra with the comultiplication Δ, counit ϵ, and the antipode S determined by

$$\Delta((m^{\pm})_j^i) = (m^{\pm})_j^q \otimes (m^{\pm})_a^i, \quad \epsilon((m^{\pm})_j^i) = \delta_{ij}, \quad S((m^{\pm})^t) = ((m^{\pm})^t)^{-1}. \tag{19}$$

In order to prove the above theorem, we give the following Lemma, which can be checked easily.

Lemma 3.2. *Suppose R is any invertible R-matrix, A, B are matrices with non-commutative entries. Then we have the following equivalent relations*

$$RA_1B_2 = B_2A_1R \Longleftrightarrow R^tB_2^tA_1^t = A_1^tB_2^tR^t, \tag{20}$$

$$RA_2B_1 = B_1A_2R \Longleftrightarrow R^tB_1^tA_2^t = A_2^tB_1^tR^t. \tag{21}$$

Proof of Theorem 3.1. The other relations in Theorem 3.1 can be proved easily, so we only focus on the antipode. The lower (upper) triangular matrices $(m^{+})^t$ ($(m^{-})^t$) are invertible in virtue of $(m^{+})_i^j(m^{-})_i^j = (m^{-})_i^j(m^{+})_i^j = 1$ in $\widehat{U(R)}$, then we denote the corresponding matrices $[((m^{\pm})^t)^{-1}]^t$ by $\widehat{m^{\pm}}$, and the entry located at row i and column j by $(\widehat{m^{\pm}})_j^i$. We build the following $\mathbb{C}[q, q^{-1}]$-linear map

$$\begin{aligned} S : \widehat{U(R)} &\longrightarrow \widehat{U(R)} \\ (m^{\pm})_j^i &\longrightarrow (\widehat{m^{\pm}})_j^i : \quad S(m^{\pm}) = \widehat{m^{\pm}}. \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

According to Lemma 3.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & Rm_1^{\pm}m_2^{\pm} = m_2^{\pm}m_1^{\pm}R \\ \Longleftrightarrow\ & R^t(m^{\pm})_2^t(m^{\pm})_1^t = (m^{\pm})_1^t(m^{\pm})_2^tR^t \\ \Longleftrightarrow\ & ((m^{\pm})^t)_2^{-1}((m^{\pm})^t)_1^{-1}R^t = R^t((m^{\pm})^t)_1^{-1}((m^{\pm})^t)_2^{-1} \\ \Longleftrightarrow\ & R[((m^{\pm})^t)^{-1}]_2^t[((m^{\pm})^t)^{-1}]_1^t = [((m^{\pm})^t)^{-1}]_1^t[((m^{\pm})^t)^{-1}]_2^tR \\ \Longleftrightarrow\ & R\widehat{m^{\pm}}_2\widehat{m^{\pm}}_1 = \widehat{m^{\pm}}_1\widehat{m^{\pm}}_2R. \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & Rm_1^{+}m_2^{-} = m_2^{-}m_1^{+}R \\ \Longleftrightarrow\ & R^t(m^{-})_2^t(m^{+})_1^t = (m^{+})_1^t(m^{-})_2^tR^t \\ \Longleftrightarrow\ & ((m^{-})^t)_2^{-1}((m^{+})^t)_1^{-1}R^t = R^t((m^{+})^t)_1^{-1}((m^{-})^t)_2^{-1} \\ \Longleftrightarrow\ & R[((m^{-})^t)^{-1}]_2^t[((m^{+})^t)^{-1}]_1^t = [((m^{+})^t)^{-1}]_1^t[((m^{-})^t)^{-1}]_2^tR \\ \Longleftrightarrow\ & R\widehat{m^{-}}_2\widehat{m^{+}}_1 = \widehat{m^{+}}_1\widehat{m^{-}}_2R. \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

We obtain the diagonal entries $(\widehat{m^{\pm}})^i_i = (m^{\mp})^i_i$ in view of the definition of $\widehat{m^{\pm}}$, then

$$(\widehat{m^{+}})^i_i(\widehat{m^{-}})^i_i = (\widehat{m^{-}})^i_i(\widehat{m^{+}})^i_i = 1. \tag{25}$$

So the map S is the anti-algebra automorphism of $\widehat{U(R)}$ in virtue of (23)–(25). By the equalities $(m^{\pm})^t((m^{\pm})^t)^{-1} = ((m^{\pm})^t)^{-1}(m^{\pm})^t = I$, we obtain the following equivalent relations

$$\begin{aligned}
&((m^{\pm})^t)^i_a[((m^{\pm})^t)^{-1}]^a_j = [((m^{\pm})^t)^{-1}]^i_a((m^{\pm})^t)^a_j = \delta_{ij}\\
\Longleftrightarrow\ &(m^{\pm})^a_i(\widehat{m^{\mp}})^j_a = (\widehat{m^{\mp}})^a_i(m^{\pm})^j_a = \delta_{ij}\\
\Longleftrightarrow\ &(m^{\pm})^a_i(S\,m^{\pm})^j_a = (S\,m^{\pm})^a_i(m^{\pm})^j_a = \delta_{ij}\\
\Longleftrightarrow\ &[m\circ(\mathrm{id}\otimes S)\circ\Delta]((m^{\pm})^j_i) = [m\circ(S\otimes\mathrm{id})\circ\Delta]((m^{\pm})^j_i) = \delta_{ij} = (\eta\circ\epsilon)((m^{\pm})^j_i).
\end{aligned}$$

Obviously, we obtain $m\circ(\mathrm{id}\otimes S)\circ\Delta = m\circ(S\otimes\mathrm{id})\circ\Delta = \eta\circ\epsilon$. So we prove that the map S is the antipode of $\widehat{U(R)}$. □

Let T_V be an irreducible representation of $U_h(\mathfrak{g})$, suppose that there exists a basis such that the corresponding R-matrix R_{VV} is upper triangular, and L-functionals $L^{\pm}$ are upper (lower) triangular. Then from the structures of bialgebras $U(\mathrm{L}^{\pm})$ and $\widehat{U(R_{VV})}$, we obtained easily [HH] that the antipode S gives the morphism from the bialgebra $U(\mathrm{L}^{\pm})$ generated by $\mathrm{L}^{\pm}$ to $\widehat{U(R_{VV})}$. On the other hand, we notice that the equality $l^+_{ii}l^-_{ii} = l^-_{ii}l^+_{ii} = 1$ can be obtained by the definition of L-functionals, so the above morphism can be induced on Hopf algebra $\widehat{U(R_{VV})}$, namely,



Thence, we obtain the following

Lemma 3.3. *Under the antipode S of $U_h(\mathfrak{g})$, the expression of generators $m^{\pm}$ for $\widehat{U(R_{VV})}$ can be obtained by the L-functionals of $U_h(\mathfrak{g})$ associated with representation T_V.*

From the explicit form (7) of universal R-matrix $\mathcal{R}$ for $U_h(\mathfrak{g})$, we know that there exist factors of form $\exp(a_ihH_i)$'s in entries of $m^{\pm}$, and these rational numbers a_i's are not integers in general. When R_{VV} is one of standard R-matrices, $\widehat{U(R_{VV})}$ coincides with the extended Hopf algebra $U_q^{\mathrm{ext}}(\mathfrak{g})$ with $K_i^{a_i}(=\exp(a_ihH_i))$ adjoined (see [KS]). In view of this fact, we still use notation $U_q^{\mathrm{ext}}(\mathfrak{g})$ instead of $\widehat{U(R_{VV})}$ for R_{VV} (not necessarily standard).

3.4. **The coquasitriangularity of Hopf algebra $H_{R_{VV}}$.** After introducing Hopf algebra H_R in the preceding section, we will furthermore consider these Hopf algebras for the general R-matrices, not necessarily standard R-matrices. As we know, for the standard R-matrices, H_R's are the coquasitriangular Hopf algebras. What about H_R for the general R-matrices? Firstly, we give some equalities about R-matrix under some conditions.

Proposition 3.2. *Suppose R is an invertible R-matrix, and the matrices $R^{t_i}, (R^{-1})^{t_i}, i = 1, 2$ are also invertible, then we have the following equalities*

$$(R^t)_{12}(R^t)_{13}(R^t)_{23} = (R^t)_{23}(R^t)_{13}(R^t)_{12}, \tag{26}$$

$$R_{12}(R^{t_2})^{-1}_{13}(R^{t_2})^{-1}_{23} = (R^{t_2})^{-1}_{23}(R^{t_2})^{-1}_{13}R_{12}, \tag{27}$$

$$(R^{t_2})_{13}(R^{t_2})_{23}R_{12} = R_{12}(R^{t_2})_{23}(R^{t_2})_{13}, \tag{28}$$

$$R_{13}(R^{t_2})^{-1}_{12}((R^{-1})^{t_1})^{-1}_{23} = ((R^{-1})^{t_1})^{-1}_{23}(R^{t_2})^{-1}_{12}R_{13}, \tag{29}$$

$$R_{23}((R^{-1})^{t_1})^{-1}_{12}((R^{-1})^{t_1})^{-1}_{13} = ((R^{-1})^{t_1})^{-1}_{13}((R^{-1})^{t_1})^{-1}_{12}R_{23}, \tag{30}$$

$$(R^t)_{23}(R^{t_2})^{-1}_{13}(R^{t_2})^{-1}_{12} = (R^{t_2})^{-1}_{12}(R^{t_2})^{-1}_{13}(R^t)_{23}, \tag{31}$$

$$(R^t)_{12}((R^{-1})^{t_1})^{-1}_{23}((R^{-1})^{t_1})^{-1}_{13} = ((R^{-1})^{t_1})^{-1}_{13}((R^{-1})^{t_1})^{-1}_{23}(R^t)_{12}, \tag{32}$$

$$(R^{t_2})^{-1}_{23}((R^{-1})^{t_1})^{-1}_{13}R_{12} = R_{12}(R^{t_2})^{-1}_{13}((R^{-1})^{t_1})^{-1}_{23}, \tag{33}$$

$$(R^{t_2})^{-1}_{12}((R^{-1})^{t_1})^{-1}_{23}R^{-1}_{13} = R^{-1}_{13}((R^{-1})^{t_1})^{-1}_{23}(R^{t_2})^{-1}_{12}, \tag{34}$$

$$(R^{t_2})^{-1}_{23}((R^t)_{13}((R^{-1})^{t_1})_{12} = ((R^{-1})^{t_1})_{12}((R^t)_{13}(R^{t_2})^{-1}_{23}. \tag{35}$$

Proof. All equalities follow mainly from the equality $R_{12}R_{13}R_{23} = R_{23}R_{13}R_{12}$ and some skills of matrices. □

Theorem 3.2. *Suppose R is an invertible R-matrix, the matrices $R^{t_i}, (R^{-1})^{t_i}, i = 1, 2$ are also invertible, if these matrices satisfy the condition (2), then H_R is a coquasitriangular Hopf algebra with its coquasitriangular structure given by*

$$\mathfrak{r}(t^i_j \otimes t^k_l) = R^{ik}_{jl}, \quad \mathfrak{r}(\tilde{t}^i_j \otimes \tilde{t}^k_l) = (R^t)^{ik}_{jl}, \tag{36}$$

$$\mathfrak{r}(\tilde{t}^i_j \otimes t^k_l) = ((R^{-1})^{t_1})^{ik}_{jl}, \quad \mathfrak{r}(t^i_j \otimes \tilde{t}^k_l) = ((R^{t_2})^{-1})^{ik}_{jl}. \tag{37}$$

The equivalent statement in matrices is

$$\mathfrak{r}(T_1 \otimes T_2) = R_{12}, \quad \mathfrak{r}(\tilde{T}_1 \otimes \tilde{T}_2) = (R^t)_{12}, \tag{38}$$

$$\mathfrak{r}(\tilde{T}_1 \otimes T_2) = ((R^{-1})^{t_1})_{12}, \quad \mathfrak{r}(T_1 \otimes \tilde{T}_2) = ((R^{t_2})^{-1})_{12}. \tag{39}$$

Proof. Let $\mathbb{C}\langle t^i_j, \tilde{t}^i_j\rangle$ denote the free algebra generated by 1 and $t^i_j, \tilde{t}^i_j$. We first construct two linear forms $\mathfrak{r}$ and $\overline{\mathfrak{r}}$ on $\mathbb{C}\langle t^i_j, \tilde{t}^i_j\rangle \otimes \mathbb{C}\langle t^i_j, \tilde{t}^i_j\rangle$. To do so, we define $\mathfrak{r}$ and $\overline{\mathfrak{r}}$ by (38), (39), (40)

and (41) below, respectively.

$$\overline{\mathfrak{r}}(T_1\otimes T_2)=(R_{VV}^{-1})_{12},\quad \overline{\mathfrak{r}}(\tilde{T}_1\otimes\tilde{T}_2)=(R_{VV}^{t})_{12}^{-1}, \tag{40}$$

$$\overline{\mathfrak{r}}(\tilde{T}_1\otimes T_2)=[((R_{VV}^{-1})^{t_1})]_{12}^{-1},\quad \overline{\mathfrak{r}}(T_1\otimes\tilde{T}_2)=(R_{VV}^{t_2})_{12}. \tag{41}$$

And we also define

$$\mathfrak{r}(1\otimes t_j^i)=\mathfrak{r}(1\otimes\tilde{t}_j^i)=\delta_{ij},\quad \mathfrak{r}(t_j^i\otimes 1)=\mathfrak{r}(\tilde{t}_j^i\otimes 1)=\delta_{ij}. \tag{42}$$

Since $\mathbb{C}\langle t_j^i,\tilde{t}_j^i\rangle$ is the free algebra with generators $t_j^i,\tilde{t}_j^i$ and a coalgebra structure (see H_R in Section 2), there is a unique extension of $\mathfrak{r}$ to a linear form $\mathfrak{r}:\mathbb{C}\langle t_j^i,\tilde{t}_j^i\rangle\otimes\mathbb{C}\langle t_j^i,\tilde{t}_j^i\rangle\longrightarrow\mathbb{C}$ such that the following property holds

$$\mathfrak{r}(ab\otimes c)=\mathfrak{r}(a\otimes c_{(1)})\mathfrak{r}(b\otimes c_{(2)}),\quad \mathfrak{r}(a\otimes bc)=\mathfrak{r}(a_{(1)}\otimes c)\mathfrak{r}(a_{(2)}\otimes b). \tag{43}$$

Similarly, $\overline{\mathfrak{r}}$ extends uniquely to a linear form $\overline{\mathfrak{r}}:\mathbb{C}\langle t_j^i,\tilde{t}_j^i\rangle\otimes\mathbb{C}\langle t_j^i,\tilde{t}_j^i\rangle\longrightarrow\mathbb{C}$ such that (43) holds for the coalgebra $\mathbb{C}\langle t_j^i,\tilde{t}_j^i\rangle^{\mathrm{op}}$.

The crucial step of the proof is to show that $\mathfrak{r}$ and $\overline{\mathfrak{r}}$ pass to linear forms on $H_R\otimes H_R$. Recall that H_R is the quotient of the algebra $\mathbb{C}\langle t_j^i,\tilde{t}_j^i\rangle$ by relations in (1). By (43) and the definition of $\mathfrak{r}$, we compute

$$\mathfrak{r}(R_{12}T_1T_2\otimes T_3)=R_{12}\mathfrak{r}(T_1T_2\otimes T_3)=R_{12}\mathfrak{r}(T_1\otimes T_3)\mathfrak{r}(T_2\otimes T_3)=R_{12}R_{13}R_{23},$$
$$\mathfrak{r}(T_2T_1R_{12}\otimes T_3)=\mathfrak{r}(T_2T_1\otimes T_3)R_{12}=\mathfrak{r}(T_2\otimes T_3)\mathfrak{r}(T_1\otimes T_3)R_{12}=R_{23}R_{13}R_{12},$$

so we obtain

$$\mathfrak{r}((R_{12}T_1T_2-T_2T_1R_{12})\otimes T_3)=0. \tag{44}$$

Similarly, according to (27), (32), (26), (34), (31) respectively, we obtain

(45)

$$\mathfrak{r}((R_{12}T_1T_2-T_2T_1R_{12})\otimes\tilde{T}_3)=0,$$
$$\mathfrak{r}((R_{12}^{t}\tilde{T}_1\tilde{T}_2-\tilde{T}_2\tilde{T}_1R_{12}^{t})\otimes T_3)=0,\quad \mathfrak{r}((R_{12}^{t}\tilde{T}_1\tilde{T}_2-\tilde{T}_2\tilde{T}_1R_{12}^{t})\otimes\tilde{T}_3)=0,$$
$$\mathfrak{r}(((R^{t_2})_{12}^{-1}T_1\tilde{T}_2-\tilde{T}_2T_1(R^{t_2})_{12}^{-1})\otimes T_3)=0,\quad \mathfrak{r}(((R^{t_2})_{12}^{-1}T_1\tilde{T}_2-\tilde{T}_2T_1(R^{t_2})_{12}^{-1})\otimes\tilde{T}_3)=0.$$

Again, applying (43), and equalities (30), (31), (26), (27), (35), we get that

(46)

$$\mathfrak{r}(T_1\otimes(R_{23}T_2T_3-T_3T_2R_{23}))=0,\quad \mathfrak{r}(\tilde{T}_1\otimes(R_{23}T_2T_3-T_3T_2R_{23}))=0,$$
$$\mathfrak{r}(T_1\otimes(R_{23}^{t}\tilde{T}_2\tilde{T}_3-\tilde{T}_3\tilde{T}_2R_{23}^{t}))=0,\quad \mathfrak{r}(\tilde{T}_1\otimes(R_{23}^{t}\tilde{T}_2\tilde{T}_3-\tilde{T}_3\tilde{T}_2R_{23}^{t}))=0,$$
$$\mathfrak{r}(T_1\otimes((R^{t_2})_{23}^{-1}T_2\tilde{T}_3-\tilde{T}_3T_2(R^{t_2})_{23}^{-1}))=0,\quad \mathfrak{r}(\tilde{T}_1\otimes((R^{t_2})_{23}^{-1}T_2\tilde{T}_3-\tilde{T}_3T_2(R^{t_2})_{23}^{-1}))=0.$$

Furthermore, by (42), we have $\mathfrak{r}(*\otimes 1)=\mathfrak{r}(1\otimes *)=0$, where $*$ denotes the relations in (1). Therefore, $\mathfrak{r}$ induces a well-defined linear form, again denoted by $\mathfrak{r}$, on $H_R\otimes H_R$. Now we repeat the preceding reasoning with $\mathfrak{r}$ replaced by $\overline{\mathfrak{r}}$ and $\mathbb{C}\langle t_j^i,\tilde{t}_j^i\rangle$ by $\mathbb{C}\langle t_j^i,\tilde{t}_j^i\rangle^{\mathrm{op}}$. Similarly, we can obtain a well-defined linear form $\overline{\mathfrak{r}}$ on $H_R\otimes H_R$.

Next, we show that two linear forms $\mathfrak{r}$ and $\overline{\mathfrak{r}}$ on $H_R \otimes H_R$ satisfy the conditions of the Definition 2.3. By $\Delta(T) = T \otimes T, \Delta(\tilde{T}) = \tilde{T} \otimes \tilde{T}$, we obtain that

$$\Delta(T_1 \otimes T_2) = (T_1 \otimes T_2) \otimes (T_1 \otimes T_2), \quad \Delta(\tilde{T}_1 \otimes T_2) = (\tilde{T}_1 \otimes T_2) \otimes (\tilde{T}_1 \otimes T_2),$$
$$\Delta(T_1 \otimes \tilde{T}_2) = (T_1 \otimes \tilde{T}_2) \otimes (T_1 \otimes \tilde{T}_2), \quad \Delta(\tilde{T}_1 \otimes \tilde{T}_2) = (\tilde{T}_1 \otimes \tilde{T}_2) \otimes (\tilde{T}_1 \otimes \tilde{T}_2).$$

Then by definition, we can obtain easily that

$$\mathfrak{r} * \overline{\mathfrak{r}} = \overline{\mathfrak{r}} * \mathfrak{r} = \epsilon \otimes \epsilon. \tag{47}$$

It is obvious that the relations in (43) are equivalent to

$$\mathfrak{r} \circ (\cdot \otimes \mathrm{id}) = \mathfrak{r}_{13} * \mathfrak{r}_{23}, \quad \mathfrak{r} \circ (\mathrm{id} \otimes \cdot) = \mathfrak{r}_{13} * \mathfrak{r}_{12}. \tag{48}$$

To prove the relation $m^{\mathrm{op}} = \mathfrak{r} * m * \overline{\mathfrak{r}}$ is equivalent to prove that

$$\mathfrak{r}(a_{(1)} \otimes b_{(1)})a_{(2)}b_{(2)} = \mathfrak{r}(a_{(2)} \otimes b_{(2)})b_{(1)}a_{(1)} \tag{49}$$

holds for any $a, b \in H_R$. If equation (49) holds for a', b', c', then by (43), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
c'_{(1)}(a'b')_{(1)}\mathfrak{r}(a'b')_{(2)} \otimes c'_{(2)}) &= c'_{(1)}a'_{(1)}b'_{(1)}\mathfrak{r}((a'_{(2)} \otimes c'_{(2)})\mathfrak{r}(b'_{(2)} \otimes c'_{(3)}) \\
&= c'_{(1)}a'_{(1)}\mathfrak{r}((a'_{(2)} \otimes c'_{(2)})b'_{(1)}\mathfrak{r}(b'_{(2)} \otimes c'_{(3)}) \\
&= \mathfrak{r}(a'_{(1)} \otimes c'_{(1)})a'_{(2)}c'_{(2)}b'_{(1)}\mathfrak{r}(b'_{(2)} \otimes c'_{(3)}) \\
&= \mathfrak{r}(a'_{(1)} \otimes c'_{(1)})a'_{(2)}\mathfrak{r}(b'_{(1)} \otimes c'_{(2)})b'_{(2)}c'_{(3)} \\
&= \mathfrak{r}(a'_{(1)} \otimes c'_{(1)})\mathfrak{r}(b'_{(1)} \otimes c'_{(2)})a'_{(2)}b')_{(2)}c'_{(3)} \\
&= \mathfrak{r}(a'_{(1)}b'_{(1)} \otimes c'_{(1)})a'_{(2)}b')_{(2)}c'_{(2)} \\
&= \mathfrak{r}((a'b')_{(1)} \otimes c'_{(1)})(a'b')_{(2)}c'_{(2)}.
\end{aligned} \tag{50}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(b'c')_{(1)}a'_{(1)}\mathfrak{r}(a'_{(2)} \otimes (b'c')_{(2)}) &= b'_{(1)}c'_{(1)}a'_{(1)}\mathfrak{r}(a'_{(2)} \otimes c'_{(2)})\mathfrak{r}(a'_{(3)} \otimes b'_{(2)}) \\
&= b'_{(1)}\mathfrak{r}((a'_{(1)} \otimes c'_{(1)})a'_{(2)}c'_{(2)}\mathfrak{r}(a')_{(3)} \otimes b'_{(2)}) \\
&= \mathfrak{r}(a'_{(1)} \otimes c'_{(1)})b'_{(1)}a'_{(2)}\mathfrak{r}(a'_{(3)} \otimes b'_{(2)})c'_{(2)} \\
&= \mathfrak{r}(a'_{(1)} \otimes c'_{(1)})\mathfrak{r}(a'_{(2)} \otimes b'_{(1)})a'_{(3)}b'_{(2)}c'_{(2)} \\
&= \mathfrak{r}(a'_{(1)}b'_{(1)} \otimes c'_{(1)})a'_{(2)}b'_{(2)}c'_{(2)} \\
&= \mathfrak{r}(a'_{(1)} \otimes (b'c')_{(1)})a'_{(2)}(b'c')_{(2)}.
\end{aligned} \tag{51}$$

Namely, according to (50) and (51), we verify that equation (49) holds for $a = a', b = b'c'$ and for $a = a'b', b = c'$ provided that they hold for $a = a', b = b'$, for $a = a', b = c'$ and for $a = b', b = c'$, respectively. Thus it suffices to show that equation (49) holds for 1 and the generators. By (42), we obtain

$$\mathfrak{r}(1 \otimes t^i_a)t^a_j = \epsilon(t^i_a)t^a_j = t^i_j = t^i_a\epsilon(t^a_j) = \mathfrak{r}(1 \otimes t^a_j)t^i_a, \ \ \mathfrak{r}(1 \otimes \tilde{t}^i_a)\tilde{t}^a_j = \epsilon(\tilde{t}^i_a)\tilde{t}^a_j = \tilde{t}^i_j = \tilde{t}^i_a\epsilon(\tilde{t}^a_j) = \mathfrak{r}(1 \otimes \tilde{t}^a_j)\tilde{t}^i_a.$$

Then associated with $\mathfrak{r}(1 \otimes ab) = \mathfrak{r}(1 \otimes b)\mathfrak{r}(1 \otimes a)$, we have

$$\mathfrak{r}(1 \otimes a_{(1)})a_{(2)} = \mathfrak{r}(1 \otimes a_{(2)})a_{(1)}, \quad \text{for } a \in H_R. \tag{52}$$

Similarly, we can prove

$$\mathfrak{r}(a_{(1)}\otimes 1)a_{(2)} = \mathfrak{r}(a_{(2)}\otimes 1)a_{(1)}, \quad \text{for } a \in H_R. \tag{53}$$

Namely, if a or b is 1, equation (49) is proved. Moreover, by the definition of $\mathfrak{r}$, we can obtain that

$$m^{\mathrm{op}} * \mathfrak{r}(T_1\otimes T_2) = T_2T_1\mathfrak{r}(T_1\otimes T_2) = T_2T_1R_{12}, \quad \mathfrak{r} * m(T_1\otimes T_2) = \mathfrak{r}(T_1\otimes T_2)T_1T_2 = R_{12}T_1T_2,$$

namely, we have the following equivalent relation

$$m^{\mathrm{op}} * \mathfrak{r}(T_1\otimes T_2) = \mathfrak{r} * m(T_1\otimes T_2) \Longleftrightarrow R_{12}T_1T_2 = T_2T_1R_{12}. \tag{54}$$

Hence, we can obtain other equivalent relations in a similar way.

$$m^{\mathrm{op}} * \mathfrak{r}(T_1\otimes \tilde{T}_2) = \mathfrak{r} * m(T_1\otimes \tilde{T}_2) \Longleftrightarrow \tilde{T}_2T_1(R^{t_2})^{-1} = (R^{t_2})^{-1}T_1\tilde{T}_2, \tag{55}$$

$$m^{\mathrm{op}} * \mathfrak{r}(\tilde{T}_1\otimes T_2) = \mathfrak{r} * m(\tilde{T}_1\otimes T_2) \Longleftrightarrow T_2\tilde{T}_1(R^{-1})^{t_1} = (R^{-1})^{t_1}\tilde{T}_1T_2, \tag{56}$$

$$m^{\mathrm{op}} * \mathfrak{r}(\tilde{T}_1\otimes \tilde{T}_2) = \mathfrak{r} * m(\tilde{T}_1\otimes \tilde{T}_2) \Longleftrightarrow \tilde{T}_2\tilde{T}_1R^t = R^t\tilde{T}_1\tilde{T}_2. \tag{57}$$

Here, the relation $(R^{-1})^{t_1}\tilde{T}_1T_2 = T_2\tilde{T}_1(R^{-1})^{t_1}$ can be obtained by $T\tilde{T}^t = \tilde{T}^tT = I$ and Proposition 3.4, namely,

$$\begin{aligned} &R^t\tilde{T}_1\tilde{T}_2 = \tilde{T}_2\tilde{T}_1R^t \Longleftrightarrow R\tilde{T}_2^t\tilde{T}_1^t = \tilde{T}_1^t\tilde{T}_2^tR \Longleftrightarrow \tilde{T}_2^t\tilde{T}_1^tR^{-1} = R^{-1}\tilde{T}_1^t\tilde{T}_2^t \\ &\Longleftrightarrow \tilde{T}_1^tR^{-1}T_2 = T_2R^{-1}\tilde{T}_1^t \Longleftrightarrow (R^{-1})^{t_1}\tilde{T}_1T_2 = T_2\tilde{T}_1(R^{-1})^{t_1}. \end{aligned} \tag{58}$$

Then equation (49) holds for generators a or b in virtue of (54)–(57). Up to now, with the conditions in the Theorem, we have proved that $\mathfrak{r}$ is actually a universal r-form of H_R, and H_R is coquasitriangular. The proof is complete. □

We find that many conclusions result from some requirements for R-matrix, for instance, $R^{t_i}, (R^{-1})^{t_i}, i = 1,2$ are invertible, and $(R^{-1})^{t_1}P(R^{t_2})^{-1}PK_0 = \mathrm{const}\cdot K_0$. However, it is uneasy to confirm these conditions. To our surprise, for the R-matrix R_{VV} associated to any finite-dimensional irreducible $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-module, we can confirm this fact according to some features of the representation.

Proposition 3.3. *Assume that V is an irreducible finite-dimensional $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-module, then the matrices $R_{VV}^{t_i}, (R_{VV}^{-1})^{t_i}, i = 1,2$ are invertible, and they satisfy the FRT-condition*

$$(R_{VV}^{-1})^{t_1}P(R_{VV}^{t_2})^{-1}PK_0 = const\cdot K_0.$$

Proof. As well known, for any irreducible finite-dimensional module with the highest weight λ, there exist uniquely crystal bases $(\mathcal{L}(\lambda),\mathcal{B}(\lambda))$ $(\mathcal{B}(\infty),\mathcal{L}(\infty))$, which are bases of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-modules at "$q = 0$" (∞), respectively. Crystal bases have a nice property, that is, for any $b, b' \in \mathcal{B}(\lambda)$ and α is a simple root: $b = \tilde{E}_\alpha b' \Longleftrightarrow b' = \tilde{F}_\alpha b$, where $\tilde{E}_\alpha, \tilde{F}_\alpha$ are famous Kashiwara operators. Moreover, Kashiwara globalize this notion. Namely, with the aid

of a crystal base, Kashiwara constructed a unique base named the global crystal base of any finite-dimensional highest weight irreducible $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-module that has similar properties to crystal bases. The (global) crystal bases theory for quantum groups was introduced by Kashiwara in [Ka1], [Ka2], [Ka3].

Based on the global crystal base theory of quantum groups, for the finite-dimensional irreducible $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-module V, V has a basis $\{v_i\}$ of V with index-raising weights such that the actions of $T_V(E_i)$'s and $T_V(F_i)$'s raise and descend the indices of the basis, respectively. Moreover, they satisfy $E_\alpha(v_i) = fv_k \iff F_\alpha(v_k) = gv_i$ for some $f, g \in \mathbb{C}[q, q^{-1}]^*$. In order to analyze the indices of R-matrix conveniently, we substitute the expression (8) for $B_{VV} \circ (T_V \otimes T_V)(\Re)(v_i \otimes v_j) = R_{VV}{}^{ij}_{nm}(v_n \otimes v_m)$, and also obtain the upper triangular R-matrix R_{VV} by with respect to the lexicographic order on the basis of $V^{\otimes 2}$ induced by the chosen basis v_i of V. Since $(R^{t_2}_{VV})^{ij}_{kl} = R_{VV}{}^{il}_{kj}$, we have $i \le k$ and $j \le l$ by the defining formula of R_{VV} if $(R^{t_2}_{VV})^{ij}_{kl} \neq 0$. More precisely, by its upper triangularity, we have $(ij) < (kl)$ if $i < k$; and if $i = k$, we have $l = j$, i.e., $(ij) = (kl)$. So, we prove that $(ij) \le (kl)$ if $(R^{t_2}_{VV})^{ij}_{kl} \neq 0$. This means $R^{t_2}_{VV}$ is also upper triangular. Furthermore, we obtain $\det(R^{t_2}_{VV}) = \det(R_{VV})$ by $(R^{t_2}_{VV})^{ij}_{ij} = R_{VV}{}^{ij}_{ij}$, so $R^{t_2}_{VV}$ is invertible. We can also prove that $(R^{-1}_{VV})^{t_2}$ is invertible owing to $R^{-1}_{VV} = B_{VV} \circ (T_V \otimes T_V)(S \otimes \mathrm{id})(\Re)$. In the same way, we can prove that matrices $R^{t_1}_{VV}$ and $(R^{-1}_{VV})^{t_1}$ are invertible.

For the finite-dimensional irreducible $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-module V involved, according to the equivalent relation $E_\alpha(v_i) = fv_k \iff F_\alpha(v_k) = gv_i$ for some $f, g \in \mathbb{C}[q, q^{-1}]^*$, we obtain that $E^{r_1}_{i_1}E^{r_2}_{i_2}\cdots E^{r_s}_{i_s}(v_j) = xv_i \iff F^{r_s}_{i_s}\cdots F^{r_2}_{i_2}F^{r_1}_{i_1}(v_i) = yv_j$ for some $x, y \in \mathbb{C}[q, q^{-1}]^*$. Thus $R_{VV}{}^{ja}_{ai} = \mathrm{const}\cdot\delta_{ij}$ by (8), and the equality $(R^{-1}_{VV})^{ja}_{ai} = \mathrm{const}\cdot\delta_{ij}$ can be obtained in the similar way, then $R^{t_2}_{VV}{}^{ji}_{aa} = R_{VV}{}^{ja}_{ai} = \mathrm{const}\cdot\delta_{ij}$. With these, by the equality $(R^{t_2})^{-1}R^{t_2} = R^{t_2}(R^{t_2})^{-1} = I$, we have $(R^{t_2}_{VV})^{-1}{}^{ji}_{aa} = \mathrm{const}\cdot\delta_{ij}$. With these analysis, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
[(R^{-1}_{VV})^{t_1}P(R^{t_2}_{VV})^{-1}PK_0]^{ij}_{kl} &= \sum_{m,n,p,q}((R^{-1}_{VV})^{t_1})^{ij}_{mn}(P(R^{t_2}_{VV})^{-1}P)^{mn}_{pq}K_0{}^{pq}_{kl}\\
&= \sum_{m,n,p,q}((R^{-1}_{VV})^{t_1})^{ij}_{mn}(R^{t_2}_{VV})^{-1}{}^{nm}_{pq}\delta_{qp}\delta_{kl}\\
&= \sum_{m,n,p}((R^{-1}_{VV})^{t_1})^{ij}_{mn}(R^{t_2}_{VV})^{-1}{}^{nm}_{pp}\delta_{kl}\\
&= \sum_{m,n,p}((R^{-1}_{VV})^{t_1})^{ij}_{mn}(R^{t_2}_{VV})^{-1}{}^{nm}_{pp}\delta_{mn}\delta_{kl}\\
&= \sum_{m,p}((R^{-1}_{VV})^{t_1})^{ij}_{mm}(R^{t_2}_{VV})^{-1}{}^{mm}_{pp}\delta_{kl}\\
&= \sum_{m,p}(R^{-1}_{VV})^{mj}_{im}(R^{t_2}_{VV})^{-1}{}^{mm}_{pp}\delta_{kl}\\
&= \sum_{m,p}(R^{-1}_{VV})^{mj}_{jm}(R^{t_2}_{VV})^{-1}{}^{mm}_{pp}\delta_{ij}\delta_{kl} = \mathrm{const}\cdot K_0.
\end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete. □

By Theorem 3.2 and Proposition 3.3, we obtain an important conclusion as desired:

Corollary 3.1. *Assume that V is an irreducible finite-dimensional $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-module, R_{VV} is the corresponding R-matrix, then $H_{R_{VV}}$ is a coquasitriangular Hopf algebra, so that the categories of left (right) $H_{R_{VV}}$-comodules ${}^{H_{R_{VV}}}\mathfrak{M}$ ($\mathfrak{M}^{H_{R_{VV}}}$) are braided.*

3.5. **The weakly quasitriangular dual pairs for general R-matrices.** Starting from any irreducible representation T_V of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ or $U_h(\mathfrak{g})$, we have the extended Hopf algebra $U_q^{\mathrm{ext}}(\mathfrak{g})$ generated by $m^{\pm}$ and the coquasitriangular Hopf algebra $H_{R_{VV}}$ corresponding to the R-matrix R_{VV}. In general, R_{VV} is no longer regular in the sense of Majid [M1] so that the Majid's double-bosonization construction Theorem is invalid for the irregular R-matrix. In order to realize the inductive constructions of the exceptional quantum groups by double-bosonization procedure, we have to try to generalize the Majid's double-bosonization construction Theorem to the irregular cases. To this end, we consider the dual pair of bialgebras $(\widehat{U(R)}, H_R)$ for the irregular R-matrices, instead of the original weakly quasitriangular dual pair of bialgebras $(\widehat{U(R)}, A(R))$ for the regular R-matrices served as the starting point of the Majid's framework. Moreover, we can establish a weakly quasitriangular dual pair of Hopf algebras $(U_q^{\mathrm{ext}}(\mathfrak{g}), H_{R_{VV}})$ as we desired, where $U_q^{\mathrm{ext}}(\mathfrak{g}) = \widehat{U(R)}$ in subsection 3.3.

Theorem 3.3. *Let T_V be an irreducible representation of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$, R_{VV} the corresponding R-matrix, then there exists a weakly quasitriangular dual pair between $U_q^{ext}(\mathfrak{g})$ and $H_{R_{VV}}$, given by*

$$\langle (m^+)^i_j, t^k_l\rangle = {R_{VV}}^{ik}_{jl}, \quad \langle (m^-)^i_j, t^k_l\rangle = (R^{-1}_{VV})^{ki}_{lj}, \tag{59}$$

$$\langle (m^+)^i_j, \tilde{t}^k_l\rangle = (R^{t_2}_{VV})^{-1\,ik}_{\quad jl}, \quad \langle (m^-)^i_j, \tilde{t}^k_l\rangle = [(R^{-1}_{VV})^{t_1}]^{-1\,ki}_{\quad lj}. \tag{60}$$

The convolution-invertible algebra/anti-coalgebra maps $\mathscr{R}, \bar{\mathscr{R}}$ in $Hom(H_{\lambda R}, U_q^{ext}(\mathfrak{g}))$ are

$$\mathscr{R}(t^i_j) = (m^+)^i_j, \quad \mathscr{R}(\tilde{t}^i_j) = (m^+)^{-1\,j}_{\quad i}; \quad \bar{\mathscr{R}}(t^i_j) = (m^-)^i_j, \quad \bar{\mathscr{R}}(\tilde{t}^i_j) = (m^-)^{-1\,j}_{\quad i}.$$

Moreover, the following relations

$$\langle \bar{\mathscr{R}}(a), b\rangle = \langle \mathscr{R}^{-1}(b), a\rangle, \quad \partial^R h = \mathscr{R} * (\partial^L h) * \mathscr{R}^{-1}, \quad \partial^R h = \bar{\mathscr{R}} * (\partial^L h) * \bar{\mathscr{R}}^{-1} \tag{61}$$

hold for any $a \in H_{R_{VV}}$, $h \in U_q^{ext}(\mathfrak{g})$.

In order to prove the above theorem, we give the following Lemma 3.4, which can be proved in virtue of the definition of weakly quasitriangular dual pair.

Lemma 3.4. *(H, A) is a weakly quasitriangular dual pair of Hopf algebras, $\mathscr{R}, \bar{\mathscr{R}}$ are the corresponding convolution-invertible algebra/anti-coalgebra maps, and $\partial^L h$, $\partial^R h$ are left*

and right "differentiation operators" for any fixed $h \in H$. Then for any $a, b, c \in A$, $g, h \in H$, we have

$$\partial^L(gh) = \partial^L g * \partial^L h, \quad \partial^R(gh) = \partial^R g * \partial^R h, \tag{62}$$

$$\langle \bar{\mathscr{R}}(ab), c\rangle = \langle \mathscr{R}^{-1}(c), ab\rangle, \quad \langle \bar{\mathscr{R}}(a), bc\rangle = \langle \mathscr{R}^{-1}(bc), a\rangle, \tag{63}$$

$$\partial^R(gh) = \mathscr{R} * \partial^L(gh) * \mathscr{R}^{-1}, \quad \partial^R(gh) = \bar{\mathscr{R}} * \partial^L(gh) * \bar{\mathscr{R}}^{-1}. \tag{64}$$

Proof of Theorem 3.3. For convenience, we denote R_{VV} by R in the proof, and also give the equivalent expressions in matrix form for those relations in Theorem 3.3:

$$\langle m_1^+, T_2\rangle = (R_{VV})_{12}, \quad \langle m_1^-, T_2\rangle = (R_{VV}^{-1})_{21} = P \circ (R_{VV}^{-1})_{12} \circ P, \tag{65}$$

$$\langle m_1^+, \tilde{T}_2\rangle = ((R_{VV}^{t_2})^{-1})_{12}, \quad \langle m_1^-, \tilde{T}_2\rangle = (R_{VV}^{-1})^{t_1})_{21}^{-1} = P \circ (R_{VV}^{-1})^{t_1})^{-1} \circ P, \tag{66}$$

$$\mathscr{R}(t) = m^+, \quad \mathscr{R}(\tilde{t}) = ((m^+)^{-1})^t; \quad \bar{\mathscr{R}}(t) = m^-, \quad \bar{\mathscr{R}}(\tilde{t}) = ((m^-)^{-1})^t. \tag{67}$$

Step 1. The dual pairing.

$\mathbb{C}\langle (m^+)^i_j, (m^-)^i_j\rangle$ denotes the free algebra generated by $m^\pm$. Obviously, (59) and (60) define a dual pairing between $\mathbb{C}\langle t^i_j, \tilde{t}^i_j\rangle$ and $\mathbb{C}\langle (m^+)^i_j, (m^-)^i_j\rangle$. By the QYBE, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &R_{12}R_{13}R_{23} = R_{23}R_{13}R_{12} \Longleftrightarrow R_{13}R_{12}R_{23}^{-1} = R_{23}^{-1}R_{12}R_{13} \\ &\Longleftrightarrow R_{23}R_{12}^{-1}R_{13}^{-1} = R_{13}^{-1}R_{12}^{-1}R_{23} \Longleftrightarrow R_{13}^{-1}R_{23}^{-1}R_{12} = R_{12}R_{23}^{-1}R_{13}^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Associated with (27), (29) and (30) in Proposition 3.2, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \langle R_{12}m_1^+m_2^+, T_3\rangle &= R_{12}R_{13}R_{23} = R_{23}R_{13}R_{12} = \langle m_2^+m_1^+R_{12}, T_3\rangle, \\ \langle R_{12}m_1^+m_2^+, \tilde{T}_3\rangle &= R_{12}(R^{t_2})^{-1}_{13}(R^{t_2})^{-1}_{23} = (R^{t_2})^{-1}_{23}(R^{t_2})^{-1}_{13}R_{12} \\ &= \langle m_2^+m_1^+R_{12}, T_3\rangle. \end{aligned} \tag{68}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle R_{23}m_2^-m_3^-, T_1\rangle &= R_{23}R_{12}^{-1}R_{13}^{-1} = R_{13}^{-1}R_{12}^{-1}R_{23} = \langle m_3^-m_2^-R_{23}, T_1\rangle, \\ \langle R_{23}m_2^-m_3^-, \tilde{T}_1\rangle &= R_{23}((R^{-1})^{t_1})^{-1}_{12}((R^{-1})^{t_1})^{-1}_{13} \\ &= ((R^{-1})^{t_1})^{-1}_{13}((R^{-1})^{t_1})^{-1}_{12}R_{23} = \langle m_3^-m_2^-R_{23}, \tilde{T}_1\rangle. \end{aligned} \tag{69}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle R_{13}m_1^+m_3^-, T_2\rangle &= R_{13}R_{12}R_{23}^{-1} = R_{23}^{-1}R_{12}R_{13} = \langle m_3^-m_1^+R_{13}, T_2\rangle, \\ \langle R_{13}m_1^+m_3^-, \tilde{T}_2\rangle &= R_{13}(R^{t_2})^{-1}_{12}((R^{-1})^{t_1})^{-1}_{23} = ((R^{-1})^{t_1})^{-1}_{23}(R^{t_2})^{-1}_{12}R_{13} \\ &= \langle m_3^-m_1^+R_{13}, \tilde{T}_2\rangle. \end{aligned} \tag{70}$$

So the dual pairing between $\mathbb{C}\langle t^i_j, \tilde{t}^i_j\rangle$ and $\mathbb{C}\langle (m^+)^i_j, (m^-)^i_j\rangle$ can passe to the dual pairing of $U_q^{\mathrm{ext}}(\mathfrak{g})$ and $\mathbb{C}\langle t^i_j, \tilde{t}^i_j\rangle$. On the other hand, in view of $\Delta^{\mathrm{cop}}(m^\pm) = m^\pm \otimes m^\pm$ and the equalities (31), (32), (33), (34) in Proposition 3.2, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle m_1^+, R_{23}T_2T_3\rangle = R_{23}R_{13}R_{12} = R_{12}R_{13}R_{23} = \langle m_1^+, T_3T_2R_{23}\rangle, \\ &\langle m_3^-, R_{12}T_1T_2\rangle R_{13}^{-1}R_{23}^{-1}R_{12} = R_{12}R_{23}^{-1}R_{13}^{-1} = \langle m_3^-, T_2T_1R_{12}\rangle. \end{aligned} \tag{71}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle m_1^+, R^t_{23}\tilde{T}_2\tilde{T}_3\rangle &= (R^t)_{23}(R^{t_2})^{-1}_{13}(R^{t_2})^{-1}_{12}\\
&= (R^{t_2})^{-1}_{12}(R^{t_2})^{-1}_{13}(R^t)_{23} = \langle m_1^+, \tilde{T}_3\tilde{T}_2R^t_{23}\rangle,\\
\langle m_3^-, R^t_{12}\tilde{T}_1\tilde{T}_2\rangle &= (R^t)_{12}((R^{-1})^{t_1})^{-1}_{23}((R^{-1})^{t_1})^{-1}_{13}\\
&= ((R^{-1})^{t_1})^{-1}_{13}((R^{-1})^{t_1})^{-1}_{23}(R^t)_{12} = \langle m_3^-, \tilde{T}_2\tilde{T}_1R^t_{12}\rangle.
\end{aligned}
\tag{72}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle m_1^+, (R^{t_2})^{-1}_{23}T_2\tilde{T}_3\rangle &= (R^{t_2})^{-1}_{23}((R^{-1})^{t_1})^{-1}_{13}R_{12}\\
&= R_{12}(R^{t_2})^{-1}_{13}((R^{-1})^{t_1})^{-1}_{23} = \langle m_1^+, \tilde{T}_3T_2(R^{t_2})^{-1}_{23}\rangle,\\
\langle m_3^-, (R^{t_2})^{-1}_{12}T_1\tilde{T}_2\rangle &= (R^{t_2})^{-1}_{12}((R^{-1})^{t_1})^{-1}_{23}R^{-1}_{13}\\
&= R^{-1}_{13}((R^{-1})^{t_1})^{-1}_{23}(R^{t_2})^{-1}_{12} = \langle m_3^-, \tilde{T}_2T_1(R^{t_2})^{-1}_{23}\rangle.
\end{aligned}
\tag{73}
$$

Then we prove that (59) and (60) define a dual pairing of $U_q^{\mathrm{ext}}(\mathfrak{g})$ and $H_{R_{VV}}$.

Step 2. $\mathscr{R}$, $\bar{\mathscr{R}}$ are algebra/anti-coalgebra maps.

We set $R = A_i \otimes B_i$, and by Lemma 3.4, we obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
Rm_1^{\pm}m_2^{\pm} = m_2^{\pm}m_1^{\pm}R &\Longleftrightarrow R(m^{\pm})^{-1}_2(m^{\pm})^{-1}_1 = (m^{\pm})^{-1}_1(m^{\pm})^{-1}_2R\\
&\Longleftrightarrow R^t((m^{\pm})^{-1})^t_1((m^{\pm})^{-1})^t_2 = ((m^{\pm})^{-1})^t_2((m^{\pm})^{-1})^t_1R^t.
\end{aligned}
\tag{74}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&Rm_1^{\pm}m_2^{\pm} = m_2^{\pm}m_1^{\pm}R \Longleftrightarrow m_1^{\pm}R(m^{\pm})^{-1}_2 = (m^{\pm})^{-1}_2R(m^{\pm})_1\\
&\Longleftrightarrow (m^{\pm}\otimes I)(A_i\otimes B_i)(I\otimes(m^{\pm})^{-1}) = (I\otimes(m^{\pm})^{-1})(A_i\otimes B_i)(m^{\pm}\otimes I)\\
&\Longleftrightarrow m^{\pm}A_i\otimes B_i(m^{\pm})^{-1} = A_im^{\pm}\otimes(m^{\pm})^{-1}B_i\\
&\Longleftrightarrow m^{\pm}A_i\otimes[B_i(m^{\pm})^{-1}]^t = A_im^{\pm}\otimes[(m^{\pm})^{-1}B_i]^t\\
&\Longleftrightarrow m^{\pm}A_i\otimes((m^{\pm})^{-1})^tB_i^t = A_im^{\pm}\otimes B_i^t((m^{\pm})^{-1})^t\\
&\Longleftrightarrow (m^{\pm}\otimes I)(I\otimes((m^{\pm})^{-1})^t)(A_i\otimes B_i^t) = (A_i\otimes B_i^t)(I\otimes((m^{\pm})^{-1})^t)(m^{\pm}\otimes I)\\
&\Longleftrightarrow m_1^{\pm}((m^{\pm})^{-1})^t_2R^{t_2} = R^{t_2}((m^{\pm})^{-1})^t_2m_1^{\pm}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{75}
$$

Then in virtue of (74) and (75), we obtain that $\mathscr{R}, \bar{\mathscr{R}}$ are algebra homomorphisms. On the other hand, since Δ is an algebra homomorphism, we have

$$\delta_{jk}\otimes 1 = \delta_{jk}\Delta(1) = \Delta(\delta_{jk}) = \Delta(I^j_k) = \Delta(((m^+)^{-1})^j_i(m^+)^i_k) = \Delta(((m^+)^{-1})^j_i)((m^+)^a_k\otimes(m^+)^i_a).$$

Then we obtain that $\Delta(((m^+)^{-1})^j_i) = ((m^+)^{-1})^j_a\otimes((m^+)^{-1})^a_i$, so

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\mathscr{R}\otimes\mathscr{R})\Delta(t^i_j) &= \mathscr{R}(t^i_a)\otimes\mathscr{R}(t^a_j) = (m^+)^i_a\otimes(m^+)^a_j\\
&= \Delta^{\mathrm{cop}}((m^+)^i_j) = \Delta^{\mathrm{cop}}(\mathscr{R}(t^i_j)),
\end{aligned}
\tag{76}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\mathscr{R}\otimes\mathscr{R})\Delta(\tilde{t}^i_j) &= \mathscr{R}(\tilde{t}^i_a)\otimes\mathscr{R}(\tilde{t}^a_j) = [((m^+))^{-1}]^a_i\otimes[((m^+))^{-1}]^j_a\\
&= \Delta^{\mathrm{cop}}([((m^+))^{-1}]^j_i) = \Delta^{\mathrm{cop}}(\mathscr{R}(\tilde{t}^i_j)).
\end{aligned}
\tag{77}
$$

We prove that $\mathscr{R}$ is an anti-coalgebra map by (76) and (77). In a similar analysis, we can prove that $\bar{\mathscr{R}}$ is also an anti-coalgebra map.

Step 3. $\mathscr{R}, \bar{\mathscr{R}}$ are convolution-invertible.

Since the upper (lower) triangularity of m^+ (m^-), the matrices $(m^+)^{-1}$ ($(m^-)^{-1}$) are upper (lower) triangular, then matrices $((m^+)^{-1})^t$ ($((m^-)^{-1})^t$) are lower (upper) triangular, and $[((m^+)^{-1})^t]^i_i[((m^-)^{-1})^t]^i_i = [((m^-)^{-1})^t]^i_i[((m^+)^{-1})^t]^i_i = 1$. So the matrices $((m^\pm)^{-1})^t$ are invertible. With these, we define the following two maps $\mathscr{R}^{-1}, \overline{\mathscr{R}}^{-1}$ in the convolution algebra $\mathrm{Hom}(H_{R_{VV}}, U_q^{\mathrm{ext}}(\mathfrak{g}))$,

$$\mathscr{R}^{-1}(t^i_j) = ((m^+)^{-1})^i_j, \quad \mathscr{R}^{-1}(\tilde{t}^i_j) = [((m^+)^{-1})^t]^{-1}{}^i_j; \tag{78}$$

$$\overline{\mathscr{R}}^{-1}(t^i_j) = ((m^-)^{-1})^i_j, \quad \overline{\mathscr{R}}^{-1}(\tilde{t}^i_j) = [((m^-)^{-1})^t]^{-1}{}^i_j. \tag{79}$$

By (78), for any $t^i_j, \tilde{t}^i_j$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathscr{R} * \mathscr{R}^{-1})(t^i_j) &= \mathscr{R}(t^i_a)\mathscr{R}^{-1}(t^a_j) = (m^+)^i_a((m^+)^{-1})^a_j \\ &= (m^+(m^+)^{-1})^i_j = I^i_j = \delta_{ij} = \eta \circ \epsilon(t^i_j),\end{aligned} \tag{80}$$

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathscr{R}^{-1} * \mathscr{R})(t^i_j) &= \mathscr{R}^{-1}(t^i_a)\mathscr{R}(t^a_j) = ((m^+)^{-1})^i_a(m^+)^a_j \\ &= ((m^+)^{-1}m^+)^i_j = I^i_j = \delta_{ij} = \eta \circ \epsilon(t^i_j),\end{aligned} \tag{81}$$

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathscr{R} * \mathscr{R}^{-1})(\tilde{t}^i_j) &= \mathscr{R}(\tilde{t}^i_a)\mathscr{R}^{-1}(\tilde{t}^a_j) = [(((m^+)^{-1}))^t]^i_a[(((m^+)^{-1})^t)^{-1}]^a_j \\ &= [(((m^+)^{-1}))^t(((m^+)^{-1})^t)^{-1}]^i_j = I^i_j = \delta_{ij} = \eta \circ \epsilon(\tilde{t}^i_j),\end{aligned} \tag{82}$$

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathscr{R}^{-1} * \mathscr{R})(\tilde{t}^i_j) &= \mathscr{R}^{-1}(\tilde{t}^i_a)\mathscr{R}(\tilde{t}^a_j) = [(((m^+)^{-1})^t)^{-1}]^i_a[(((m^+)^{-1}))^t]^a_j \\ &= [(((m^+)^{-1})^t)^{-1}(((m^+)^{-1}))^t]^i_j = I^i_j = \delta_{ij} = \eta \circ \epsilon(\tilde{t}^i_j).\end{aligned} \tag{83}$$

So we obtain that $\mathscr{R} * \mathscr{R}^{-1} = \mathscr{R}^{-1} * \mathscr{R} = \eta_{U_q^{\mathrm{ext}}(\mathfrak{g})} \circ \epsilon_{H_{R_{VV}}}$ owing to (80), (81), (82), (83). $\overline{\mathscr{R}} * \overline{\mathscr{R}}^{-1} = \overline{\mathscr{R}}^{-1} * \overline{\mathscr{R}} = \eta_{U_q^{\mathrm{ext}}(\mathfrak{g})} \circ \epsilon_{H_{R_{VV}}}$ can be proved in a similar way.

Step 4. The maps $\mathscr{R}, \overline{\mathscr{R}}$ satisfy the relations in (61).

According to Lemma 3.4, we only need to prove that the relations in (61) hold for generators. In view of $\mathscr{R}^{-1} * \mathscr{R} = \mathscr{R} * \mathscr{R}^{-1} = \eta \circ \epsilon$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \mathscr{R}(t^k_a)\mathscr{R}^{-1}(t^a_l), t^i_j\rangle &= \langle \mathscr{R}(t^k_a), t^i_b\rangle\langle \mathscr{R}^{-1}(t^a_l), t^b_j\rangle = \langle (m^+)^k_a, t^i_b\rangle\langle \mathscr{R}^{-1}(t^a_l), t^b_j\rangle \\ &= R^{ki}_{ab}\langle \mathscr{R}^{-1}(t^a_l), t^b_j\rangle = \langle \delta_{kl}, t^i_j\rangle = \delta_{kl}\delta_{ij} \\ &= I^{ki}_{lj} = \langle \mathscr{R}^{-1}(t^k_a)\mathscr{R}(t^a_l), t^i_j\rangle = \langle \mathscr{R}^{-1}(t^k_a), t^i_b\rangle\langle \mathscr{R}(t^a_l), t^b_j\rangle \\ &= \langle \mathscr{R}^{-1}(t^k_a), t^i_b\rangle\langle (m^+)^a_l, t^b_j\rangle = \langle \mathscr{R}^{-1}(t^k_a), t^i_b\rangle R^{ab}_{lj}.\end{aligned}$$

So $\langle \mathscr{R}^{-1}(t^k_l), t^i_j\rangle = (R^{-1})^{ki}_{lj}$, then we obtain that

$$\langle \overline{\mathscr{R}}(t^i_j), t^k_l\rangle = \langle (m^-)^i_j), t^k_l\rangle = (R^{-1})^{ki}_{lj} = \langle \mathscr{R}^{-1}(t^k_l), t^i_j\rangle. \tag{84}$$

We also obtain the following relations in a similar way

$$\langle \overline{\mathscr{R}}(t^i_j), \tilde{t}^k_l\rangle = \langle (m^-)^i_j), \tilde{t}^k_l\rangle = [((R^{-1})^{t_1})^{-1}]^{ki}_{lj} = \langle \mathscr{R}^{-1}(\tilde{t}^k_l), t^i_j\rangle, \tag{85}$$

$$\langle \overline{\mathscr{R}}(\tilde{t}^i_j), t^k_l\rangle = \langle ((m^-)^{-1})^j_i), t^k_l\rangle = R^{kj}_{li} = \langle \mathscr{R}^{-1}(t^k_l), \tilde{t}^i_j\rangle, \tag{86}$$

$$\langle\bar{\mathscr{R}}(\tilde{t}_j^i),\tilde{t}_l^k\rangle=\langle((m^-)^{-1})_i^j),\tilde{t}_l^k\rangle=(R^{-1})_{ki}^{lj}=\langle\mathscr{R}^{-1}(\tilde{t}_l^k),\tilde{t}_j^i\rangle. \tag{87}$$

According to (84)–(87), we prove that $\langle\bar{\mathscr{R}}a,b\rangle=\langle\mathscr{R}^{-1}b,a\rangle$ holds for any $a,b\in H_{R_{VV}}$.

Next we will prove the following relations for $\mathscr{R},\bar{\mathscr{R}}$.

$$\partial^R(m^\pm)_l^k*\mathscr{R}=\mathscr{R}*\partial^L(m^\pm)_l^k, \tag{88}$$

$$\partial^R(m^\pm)_l^k*\bar{\mathscr{R}}=\bar{\mathscr{R}}*\partial^L(m^\pm)_l^k. \tag{89}$$

With the convolution product and the coalgebra structure, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\partial^R(m^\pm)_l^k*\mathscr{R})(t_j^i)\\
&=\partial^R(m^\pm)_l^k(t_a^i)\mathscr{R}(t_j^a)=(m^\pm)_l^b\langle(m^\pm)_b^k,t_a^i\rangle\mathscr{R}(t_j^a)\\
&=R_{ab}^{ki}(m^\pm)_l^b(m^\pm)_j^a=[Rm_1^\pm m_2^\pm]_{lj}^{ki}\\
&=[m_2^\pm m_1^\pm R]_{lj}^{ki}=(m^\pm)_a^i(m^\pm)_b^kR_{lj}^{ba}\\
&=(m^\pm)_a^i\langle(m^\pm)_l^b,t_j^a\rangle(m^\pm)_b^k=\mathscr{R}(t_a^i)\partial^L(m^\pm)_l^k(t_j^a)\\
&=(\mathscr{R}*\partial^L(m^\pm)_l^k)(t_j^i).
\end{aligned} \tag{90}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\partial^R(m^+)_l^k*\mathscr{R})(\tilde{t}_j^i)\\
&=\partial^R(m^+)_l^k(\tilde{t}_a^i)\mathscr{R}(\tilde{t}_j^a)=(m^+)_l^b\langle(m^+)_b^k,\tilde{t}_a^i\rangle\mathscr{R}(\tilde{t}_j^a)\\
&=((R^{t_2})^{-1})_{ba}^{ki}(m^+)_l^b[((m^+)^{-1})^t]_j^a=[(R^{t_2})^{-1}m_1^+((m^+)^{-1})_2^t]_{lj}^{ki}\\
&=[((m^+)^{-1})_2^tm_1^+(R^{t_2})^{-1}]_{lj}^{ki}=[((m^+)^{-1})^t]_a^i(m^+)_b^k((R^{t_2})^{-1})_{lj}^{ba}\quad\text{(by (75))}\\
&=[((m^+)^{-1})^t]_a^i\langle(m^+)_l^b,\tilde{t}_j^a\rangle(m^+)_b^k=\mathscr{R}(\tilde{t}_a^i)\partial^L(m^+)_l^k(\tilde{t}_j^a)\\
&=(\mathscr{R}*\partial^L(m^\pm)_l^k)(\tilde{t}_j^i).
\end{aligned} \tag{91}$$

On the other hand, we set $R^{-1}=C_i\otimes D_i$, then according to $Rm_1^+m_2^-=m_2^-m_1^+R$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&Rm_1^+m_2^-=m_2^-m_1^+R\Longleftrightarrow m_1^+m_2^-R^{-1}=R^{-1}m_2^-m_1^+\\
&\Longleftrightarrow m_2^-R^{-1}(m^+)_1^{-1}=(m^+)_1^{-1}R^{-1}m_2^-\\
&\Longleftrightarrow(I\otimes m^-)(C_i\otimes D_i)((m^+)^{-1}\otimes I)=((m^+)^{-1}\otimes I)(C_i\otimes D_i)(I\otimes m^-)\\
&\Longleftrightarrow C_i(m^+)^{-1}\otimes m^-D_i=(m^+)^{-1}C_i\otimes D_im^-\\
&\Longleftrightarrow((m^+)^{-1})^tC_i^t\otimes m^-D_i=C_i^t((m^+)^{-1})^t\otimes D_im^-\\
&\Longleftrightarrow(I\otimes m^-)(((m^+)^{-1})^t\otimes I)(C_i^t\otimes D_i)=(C_i^t\otimes D_i)(((m^+)^{-1})^t\otimes I)(I\otimes m^-)\\
&\Longleftrightarrow m_2^-((m^+)^{-1})_1^t(R^{-1})^{t_1}=(R^{-1})^{t_1}((m^+)^{-1})_1^tm_2^-\\
&\Longleftrightarrow((R^{-1})^{t_1})^{-1}m_2^-((m^+)^{-1})_1^t=((m^+)^{-1})_1^tm_2^-((R^{-1})^{t_1})^{-1}.
\end{aligned} \tag{92}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(\partial^R(m^-)^k_l * \mathscr{R})(\tilde{t}^i_j) = \partial^R(m^-)^k_l(\tilde{t}^i_a)\mathscr{R}(\tilde{t}^a_j) = (m^-)^b_l\langle(m^-)^k_b, \tilde{t}^i_a\rangle\mathscr{R}(\tilde{t}^a_j)\\
&= ((R^{-1})^{t_1})^{-1})^{ik}_{ab}(m^-)^b_l[((m^+)^{-1})^t]^a_j = [((R^{-1})^{t_1})^{-1}m_2^-((m^+)^{-1})^t_1]^{ik}_{jl}\\
&= [((m^+)^{-1})^t_1 m_2^-((R^{-1})^{t_1})^{-1}]^{ik}_{jl} \quad \text{(by (92))}\\
&= [((m^+)^{-1})^t]^i_a(m^-)^k_b((R^{-1})^{t_1})^{-1})^{ab}_{jl}\\
&= [((m^+)^{-1})^t]^i_a\langle(m^-)^b_l, \tilde{t}^a_j\rangle(m^-)^k_b = \mathscr{R}(\tilde{t}^i_a)\partial^L(m^-)^k_l(\tilde{t}^a_j) = (\mathscr{R} * \partial^L(m^-)^k_l)(\tilde{t}^i_j).
\end{aligned}
\tag{93}
$$

By (90), (91) and (93), we obtain that $\partial^R(m^\pm)^k_l * \mathscr{R} = \mathscr{R} * \partial^L(m^\pm)^k_l$, thus prove the relation (88). (89) can also be proved in a similar way.

This completes the proof. □

3.6. **The generalized double-bosonization construction Theorem.** After building up the weakly quasitriangular dual pairs of Hopf algebras suitably for general R-matrices R_{VV}'s (especially for the irregular R-matrices), we are in a position to establish our generalized double-bosonization construction Theorem for any R_{VV}. First of all, as we known, the two braided (co-)vector algebras involved in the double-bosonization construction were defined by Majid in the braided categories of left (right) $A(R)$-comodules, i.e., $V(R', R) \in {}^{A(R)}\mathfrak{M}$, $V^\vee(R', R_{21}^{-1}) \in \mathfrak{M}^{A(R)}$. However, our starting objects are the dual pairs of Hopf algebras $(U_q^{\rm ext}(\mathfrak{g}), H_{R_{VV}})$ for the irregular cases. Now by definition, observing that the FRT-bialgebra $A(R)$ is a subbialgebra of H_R, we can view $V(R', R) \in {}^{H_R}\mathfrak{M}$, $V^\vee(R', R_{21}^{-1}) \in \mathfrak{M}^{H_R}$.

Actually, in order to fit well into the application to the inductive construction of the exceptional quantum groups, we have to refine our framework. We not only need to work with the pairs of their central extensions: $(\widetilde{U_q^{\rm ext}(\mathfrak{g})}, \widetilde{H_{R_{VV}}})$, where $\widetilde{U_q^{\rm ext}(\mathfrak{g})} = U_q^{\rm ext}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes k[c, c^{-1}]$, $\widetilde{H_{R_{VV}}} = H_{R_{VV}} \otimes k[g, g^{-1}]$, but also to make the normalization of R_{VV} at certain eigenvalue of the braiding PR_{VV} to obtain a quantum group normalization constant λ and the needed pair (R, R') determined by the minimal polynomial of PR_{VV}. Noticing the relation $R_{VV} = \lambda R$, and $H_{R_{VV}} \subset \widetilde{H_{R_{VV}}}$, we have $A(R) \cong A(R_{VV})$ as bialgebras, consequently, we get a bialgebra embedding $A(R) \hookrightarrow \widetilde{H_{R_{VV}}}$. This implies that our concerned braided objects $V(R', R) \in {}^{\widetilde{H_{R_{VV}}}}\mathfrak{M}$, $V^\vee(R', R_{21}^{-1}) \in \mathfrak{M}^{\widetilde{H_{R_{VV}}}}$. To be more precisely, we have

Lemma 3.5. *Let $R_{VV} = \lambda R$ and $(\widetilde{U_q^{\rm ext}(\mathfrak{g})}, \widetilde{H_{R_{VV}}})$ be the centrally extended weakly quasitriangular dual pair defined by*

$$
\Delta(c) = c \otimes c, \quad \Delta(g) = g \otimes g, \quad \langle c, g\rangle = \lambda, \quad \mathscr{R}(g) = c^{-1}, \quad \bar{\mathscr{R}}(g) = c. \tag{94}
$$

Then $V(R', R)$ and $V^\vee(R', R_{21}^{-1})$ are braided groups in the categories ${}^{\widetilde{H_{R_{VV}}}}\mathfrak{M}$ and $\mathfrak{M}^{\widetilde{H_{R_{VV}}}}$, respectively, where the coactions are given by $e^i \mapsto g\, t^i_a \otimes e^a$ and $f_i \mapsto f_a \otimes g\, t^i_a$.

Proof. The duality pairing between $\widetilde{U_q^{\rm ext}(\mathfrak{g})}$ and $\widetilde{H_{R_{VV}}}$ can be induced by $\langle a\otimes c, b\otimes g\rangle = \langle a,b\rangle\langle c,g\rangle$, for $a\in U_q^{\rm ext}(\mathfrak{g}), b\in H_{R_{VV}}$, and we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle c, g^{-1}\rangle = \langle c^{-1}, g\rangle = \lambda^{-1},\quad \langle c^{-1}, g^{-1}\rangle = \lambda,\\
\langle c, t_j^i\rangle = \langle 1\otimes c, t_j^i\otimes 1\rangle = \langle 1, t_j^i\rangle\langle c, 1\rangle = \epsilon(t_j^i)\epsilon(c) = \delta_{ij},\\
\langle c, \tilde{t}_j^i\rangle = \langle 1\otimes c, \tilde{t}_j^i\otimes 1\rangle = \langle 1, \tilde{t}_j^i\rangle\langle c, 1\rangle = \epsilon(\tilde{t}_j^i)\epsilon(c) = \delta_{ij},\\
\langle (m^{\pm})_j^i, g\rangle = \langle (m^{\pm})_j^i\otimes 1, 1\otimes g\rangle = \langle (m^{\pm})_j^i, 1\rangle\langle 1, g\rangle = \epsilon((m^{\pm})_j^i) = \delta_{ij}.
\end{aligned}$$

According to $\langle ab, c\rangle = \langle a, c_{(1)}\rangle\langle b, c_{(2)}\rangle$, we obtain

$$\langle ((m^+)^{-1})_j^i, g\rangle = \delta_{ij} = \langle [(((m^+)^{-1})^t)^{-1}]_j^i, g\rangle. \tag{95}$$

With these, we have

$$\langle \bar{\mathscr{R}}(g), t_j^i\rangle = \langle \mathscr{R}^{-1}(t_j^i), g\rangle = \delta_{ij},\quad \langle \bar{\mathscr{R}}(g), \tilde{t}_j^i\rangle = \langle \mathscr{R}^{-1}(\tilde{t}_j^i), g\rangle = \delta_{ij}, \tag{96}$$

$$\langle \bar{\mathscr{R}}(t_j^i), g\rangle = \langle \mathscr{R}^{-1}(g), t_j^i\rangle = \delta_{ij},\quad \langle \bar{\mathscr{R}}(\tilde{t}_j^i), g\rangle = \langle \mathscr{R}^{-1}(g), \tilde{t}_j^i\rangle = \delta_{ij}. \tag{97}$$

In view of $\mathscr{R}^{-1}(g) = c, \bar{\mathscr{R}}^{-1}(g) = c^{-1}$, and (96), (97), we obtain that $\langle \bar{\mathscr{R}}(a), b\rangle = \langle \mathscr{R}^{-1}(b), a\rangle$ holds for any $a, b\in \widetilde{H_{R_{VV}}}$. Furthermore, by $(m^{\pm})_j^i c = c(m^{\pm})_j^i$, we can prove easily that

$$\partial^R c = \mathscr{R} * (\partial^L c) * \mathscr{R}^{-1},\quad \partial^R c = \bar{\mathscr{R}} * (\partial^L c) * \bar{\mathscr{R}}^{-1}.$$

Hence, (94) gives actually a weakly quasitriangular dual pair between $\widetilde{U_q^{\rm ext}(\mathfrak{g})}$ and $\widetilde{H_{R_{VV}}}$.

Finally, we will check that our concerned objects $V(R', R)$, $V^{\vee}(R', R_{21}^{-1})$ are indeed the braided ones in the braided categories ${}^{\widetilde{H_{R_{VV}}}}\mathfrak{M}$ and $\mathfrak{M}^{\widetilde{H_{R_{VV}}}}$, respectively. To do so, we need to verify that the braidings induced by the left / right $\widetilde{H_{R_{VV}}}$-comodule structures of $V(R', R)$ and $V^{\vee}(R', R_{21}^{-1})$, respectively, are consistent with those of their braided groups structure.

In fact, under the coaction $e^i\mapsto g\,t_b^i\otimes e^b$ and $e^j\mapsto g\,t_a^j\otimes e^a$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi(e^i\otimes e^j) = e^a\otimes e^i\triangleleft\mathscr{R}(gt_a^j) &= e^a\otimes e^i\triangleleft c^{-1}(m^+)_a^j = e^a\otimes e^b\langle c^{-1}(m^+)_a^j, gt_b^i\rangle\\
&= \langle c^{-1}, g\rangle\langle (m^+)_a^j, t_b^i\rangle e^a\otimes e^b = \lambda^{-1}R_{VV}{}_{ab}^{ji}e^a\otimes e^b\\
&= \lambda^{-1}\lambda R_{ab}^{ji}e^a\otimes e^b = R_{ab}^{ji}e^a\otimes e^b
\end{aligned}$$

for any $e^i, e^j\in V(R', R)$. Using the right $\widetilde{H_{R_{VV}}}$-comodule structure of $V^{\vee}(R', R_{21}^{-1})$, we can also obtain that $\Psi(f_i\otimes f_j) = (f_b\otimes f_a)\mathfrak{r}(g\,t_i^a\otimes g\,t_j^b) = f_b\otimes f_a R_{ij}^{ab}$. The proof is complete. □

By the above lemma, we obtain dually-paired braided groups $V(R', R)$ and $V^{\vee}(R', R_{21}^{-1})$ in the categories ${}_{\widetilde{U_q^{\rm ext}(\mathfrak{g})}}\mathfrak{M}$, $\mathfrak{M}_{\widetilde{U_q^{\rm ext}(\mathfrak{g})}}$, respectively. With these, starting from general R-matrices R_{VV}'s (especially for the irregular R-matrices), we can arrive at our generalized version of double-bosonization construction Theorem.

Theorem 3.4. *Let R_{VV} be the R-matrix associated to an irreducible $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-module V with a minuscule highest weight. There exists a normalization constant λ such that $\lambda R = R_{VV}$. Then the enlarged quantum group $U = U(V^\vee(R', R_{21}^{-1}), \widetilde{U_q^{ext}(\mathfrak{g})}, V(R', R))$ has the relations*

$$c f_i = \lambda f_i c, \quad e^i c = \lambda c e^i, \quad [c, m^\pm] = 0, \quad [e^i, f_j] = \delta_{ij} \frac{(m^+)^i_j c^{-1} - c(m^-)^i_j}{q_* - q_*^{-1}};$$
$$e^i (m^+)^j_k = R_{VV}{}^{ji}_{ab} (m^+)^a_k e^b, \quad (m^-)^i_j e^k = R_{VV}{}^{ki}_{ab} e^a (m^-)^b_j,$$
$$(m^+)^i_j f_k = f_b (m^+)^i_a R_{VV}{}^{ab}_{jk}, \quad f_i (m^-)^j_k = (m^-)^j_b f_a R_{VV}{}^{ab}_{ik},$$

and the coproduct:

$$\Delta c = c \otimes c, \quad \Delta e^i = e^a \otimes (m^+)^i_a c^{-1} + 1 \otimes e^i, \quad \Delta f_i = f_i \otimes 1 + c(m^-)^a_i \otimes f_a,$$

and the counit $\epsilon e^i = \epsilon f_i = 0$.

Proof. The weakly quasitriangular dual pair $(\widetilde{U_q^{\mathrm{ext}}(\mathfrak{g})}, \widetilde{H_{R_{VV}}})$ yields the cross relation, by Remark 2.2. The coalgebra structure follows from Theorem 2.1 due to Majid. □

4. Applications: Type-crossing Constructions of $U_q(F_4)$ and $U_q(G_2)$

This section is devoted to using Theorem 3.4 to give the type-crossing constructions of $U_q(F_4)$ and $U_q(G_2)$. Among which, the first crucial point is to choose an appropriate $U_q(\mathfrak{g}')$-module for a Lie subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}' \subset \mathfrak{g}$ of corank 1 to obtain a suitable R-matrix R_{VV} (irregular in the exceptional cases).

4.1. **Type-crossing of $U_q(F_4)$ via $U_q(B_3)$.** Let us begin with $U_q(B_3)$ and its 8-dimensional spin module V, which is given by the following Figure 1 (see [HK]).



Figure 1. spin module V for $U_q(\mathfrak{so}_7)$

The representation space $V = \mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{v_1, \cdots, v_8\}$. Let (s_1, s_2, s_3) denote the basis vector of weight $\frac{1}{2}(s_1\varepsilon_1 + s_2\varepsilon_2 + s_3\varepsilon_3)$, $s_i = \pm$. Every basis vector v_i is denoted by $v_1 = (-,-,-), v_2 = (-,-,+), v_3 = (-,+,-), v_4 = (-,+,+), v_5 = (+,-,-), v_6 = (+,-,+), v_7 = (+,+,-), v_8 = (+,+,+)$. E_i, F_i's actions can be read off directly from Figure 1, then K_i, H_i's actions can be obtained by $[E_i, F_j] = \delta_{ij}\frac{K_i - K_i^{-1}}{q_i - q_i^{-1}}$ (or $\delta_{ij}\frac{e^{d_i hH_i} - e^{-d_i hH_i}}{e^{d_i h} - e^{-d_i h}}$). Moreover, we observe that the spin module V is a minuscule weight module, and $E_\beta(v_m) = v_n \Longleftrightarrow F_\beta(v_n) = v_m$, for some m, n (see [HK]), as well as E_i^k, F_i^k are 0 for all $k \geq 2$. Based on these, we can prove the following

Lemma 4.1. *μ_m denote the weight of basis vector v_m for any m. If there exist v_i, v_j and v_k, v_l such that $E_{i_1}^{r_1}E_{i_2}^{r_2}\cdots E_{i_s}^{r_s}(v_i) = v_k$, $F_{j_1}^{t_1}F_{j_2}^{t_2}\cdots F_{j_s}^{t_s}(v_j) = v_l$, where $\{j_1, j_2, \cdots, j_s\} \in \{i_{w(1)}, i_{w(2)}, \cdots, i_{w(s)} | w \in Sym_s\}$, and $t_k = r_a$ when $j_k = i_a$, $r_m \in \{0, 1\}$ for any m, then we have $(\mu_i, \mu_j) = (\mu_k, \mu_l)$.*

Proof. We will prove this Lemma by induction on $r_1 + r_2 + \cdots + r_s$.

(1) When $r_1 + r_2 + \cdots + r_s = 1$, namely, the situation of simple root vectors.

According to the spin representation, suppose that there exist simple root vectors E_β and F_β such that $E_\beta(v_i) = v_k, F_\beta(v_j) = v_l$, which is equivalent to $E_\beta(v_l) = v_j, F_\beta(v_k) = v_i$, then $\mu_k = \mu_i + \beta, \mu_l = \mu_j - \beta$. Owing to E_β^2, F_β^2 are zero action, we obtain $E_\beta(v_j) = E_\beta(E_\beta(v_l)) = 0, F_\beta(v_i) = F_\beta(F_\beta(v_k)) = 0$. According to $E_\beta F_\beta - F_\beta E_\beta = \frac{K_\beta - K_\beta^{-1}}{q_\beta - q_\beta^{-1}}$, both sides acting on v_i, v_j, respectively, we obtain

$$[E_\beta, F_\beta](v_i) = \frac{K_\beta - K_\beta^{-1}}{q_\beta - q_\beta^{-1}}(v_i), \Longrightarrow (\mu_i, \beta) = -\frac{(\beta,\beta)}{2},$$

$$[E_\beta, F_\beta](v_j) = \frac{K_\beta - K_\beta^{-1}}{q_\beta - q_\beta^{-1}}(v_j), \Longrightarrow (\beta, \mu_j) = \frac{(\beta,\beta)}{2}.$$

Then we have the following equalities

$$\begin{aligned}(\mu_k, \mu_l) &= (\mu_i + \beta, \mu_j - \beta) = (\mu_i, \mu_j) - (\mu_i, \beta) + (\beta, \mu_j) - (\beta, \beta)\\ &= (\mu_i, \mu_j) - (-\tfrac{(\beta,\beta)}{2}) + \tfrac{(\beta,\beta)}{2} - (\beta, \beta) = (\mu_i, \mu_j).\end{aligned}$$

(2) When $r_1 + r_2 + \cdots + r_s = 2$.

If there are basis vectors v_k and v_l such that $E_iE_j(v_k) = v_m$ and $F_jF_i(v_l) = v_n$(or $F_iF_j(v_l) = v_n$), then $\mu_m = \mu_k + \alpha_i + \alpha_j, \mu_n = \mu_l - \alpha_i - \alpha_j$. Let us consider the case of $E_iE_j(v_k) = v_m$ and $F_jF_i(v_l) = v_n$. From these, we have the following equivalent relations

$$E_iE_j(v_k) = v_m \Longleftrightarrow E_j(v_k) = v_x, \quad E_i(v_x) = v_m, \tag{98}$$

$$F_jF_i(v_l) = v_n \Longleftrightarrow F_i(v_l) = v_y, \quad F_j(v_y) = v_n \tag{99}$$

for some basis vectors v_x, v_y. By (98) and (99), we obtain the following equalities for these weights based on the above analysis for simple root vectors.

$$\begin{cases} (\mu_k, \alpha_j) = -\frac{(\alpha_j,\alpha_j)}{2}, \quad (\mu_y, \alpha_j) = \frac{(\alpha_j,\alpha_j)}{2}, \\ (\mu_x, \alpha_i) = -\frac{(\alpha_i,\alpha_i)}{2}, \quad (\mu_l, \alpha_i) = \frac{(\alpha_i,\alpha_i)}{2}. \end{cases} \tag{100}$$

According to $(\mu_y, \alpha_j) = \frac{(\alpha_j,\alpha_j)}{2}$ and $(\mu_x, \alpha_i) = -\frac{(\alpha_i,\alpha_i)}{2}$, respectively, we obtain

$$(\mu_l, \alpha_j) = (\alpha_i, \alpha_j) + \frac{(\alpha_j, \alpha_j)}{2}, \quad (\mu_k, \alpha_i) = -(\alpha_i, \alpha_j) - \frac{(\alpha_i, \alpha_i)}{2}.$$

Then we have the following relations by (100),

$$\begin{cases} (\mu_l, \alpha_i + \alpha_j) = (\alpha_i, \alpha_j) + \frac{(\alpha_i,\alpha_i)+(\alpha_j,\alpha_j)}{2}, \\ (\mu_k, \alpha_i + \alpha_j) = -(\alpha_i, \alpha_j) - \frac{(\alpha_i,\alpha_i)+(\alpha_j,\alpha_j)}{2}. \end{cases}$$

With these, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\mu_m, \mu_n) &= (\mu_k + \alpha_i + \alpha_j, \mu_l - \alpha_i - \alpha_j) \\ &= (\mu_k, \mu_l) - (\mu_k, \alpha_i + \alpha_j) + (\mu_l, \alpha_i + \alpha_j) - (\alpha_i + \alpha_j, \alpha_i + \alpha_j) \\ &= (\mu_k, \mu_l) + (\alpha_i, \alpha_i) + (\alpha_j, \alpha_j) + 2(\alpha_i, \alpha_j) - (\alpha_i + \alpha_j, \alpha_i + \alpha_j) \\ &= (\mu_k, \mu_l). \end{aligned}$$

In a similar way, we also prove $(\mu_m, \mu_n) = (\mu_k, \mu_l)$ when $E_i E_j(v_k) = v_m$ and $F_i F_j(v_l) = v_n$.

(3) Suppose that the Proposition is correct for $r_1 + r_2 + \cdots + r_s = n - 1$ ($n \geq 2$), then we will consider the case of $r_1 + r_2 + \cdots + r_s = n$.

If there are v_i, v_j, v_k, v_l such that $E_{i_1}^{r_1} E_{i_2}^{r_2} \cdots E_{i_s}^{r_s}(v_i) = v_k$, $F_{j_1}^{t_1} F_{j_2}^{t_2} \cdots F_{j_s}^{t_s}(v_j) = v_l$, and $r_1 \neq 0$, $t_1 \neq 0$, then we have the following equivalent relations:

$$\begin{aligned} E_{i_1}^{r_1} E_{i_2}^{r_2} \cdots E_{i_s}^{r_s}(v_i) = v_k &\Longleftrightarrow E_{i_1}^{r_1-1} E_{i_2}^{r_2} \cdots E_{i_s}^{r_s}(v_i) = v_x,\ E_{i_1}(v_x) = v_k, \\ F_{j_1}^{t_1} F_{j_2}^{t_2} \cdots F_{j_s}^{t_s}(v_j) = v_l &\Longleftrightarrow F_{j_1}^{t_1-1} F_{j_2}^{t_2} \cdots F_{j_s}^{t_s}(v_j) = v_y,\ F_{j_1}(v_y) = v_l. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have $(\mu_i, \mu_j) = (\mu_x, \mu_y)$ by supposition, and $(\mu_x, \mu_y) = (\mu_k, \mu_l)$ by **(1)**, then we prove $(\mu_i, \mu_j) = (\mu_k, \mu_l)$. According to **(1), (2), (3)**, the proof is complete. □

For simplicity, we denote $E_{i_1}^{r_1} E_{i_2}^{r_2} \cdots E_{i_s}^{r_s}$, $F_{j_1}^{t_1} F_{j_2}^{t_2} \cdots F_{j_s}^{t_s}$ in the above Lemma 4.1 by $E_{\underline{i}}^{\underline{r}}$, $E_{\underline{j}}^{\underline{t}}$, respectively. Corresponding to this spin representation, we get the upper triangular 64×64 R-matrix R_{VV} associated with universal R-matrix and the above basis of representation space. The explicit expressions of root vectors in universal R-matrix (7) are obtained by Lusztig's automorphisms T_i's [L]. For example, we fix $w_0 = s_3 s_2 s_3 s_2 s_1 s_2 s_3 s_2 s_1$ a reduced decomposition of the longest element w_0 of Weyl group W for B_3, then the corresponding sequence of all positive roots of $\mathfrak{so}_7$ is $\alpha_3, \alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3, \alpha_2 + \alpha_3, \alpha_2, \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \alpha_1$. For composition root $\alpha_2 + \alpha_3$, we obtain root vectors $E_{\alpha_2+\alpha_3} = -E_3E_2 + q^{-1}E_2E_3$, $F_{\alpha_2+\alpha_3} = -F_2F_3 + qF_3F_2$. Moreover, by these root vectors

and the equality (8), we obtain $R_{VV}{}^{53}_{71} = -q^{-1}(q^{\frac{1}{2}} - q^{-\frac{1}{2}})q^{-\frac{1}{4}}$ and $R_{VV}{}^{17}_{35} = -q(q^{\frac{1}{2}} - q^{-\frac{1}{2}})q^{-\frac{1}{4}}$, then we find $(PR_{VV})^{35}_{71} \neq (PR_{VV})^{71}_{35}$. That is, the matrix PR_{VV} isn't symmetric. However, we have the following

Proposition 4.1. *Corresponding to the 8-dimensional spin $U_q(\mathfrak{so}_7)$-module in Figure 1, the braiding matrix PR_{VV} is symmetrizable, that is, the braiding is of diagonal type.*

Proof. We know that dual cases, obtained by Lusztig's automorphisms T_i's, is the standard choice of bases satisfying the condition (6) in Lemma 3.1. Naturally, if we can determine another dual base of $U_q^+(\mathfrak{b})$ and $U_q^-(\mathfrak{b})$ such that they satisfy (6), namely, $\langle E_\beta, F_\beta\rangle' = \langle E_i, F_i\rangle'$ if a positive β and a simple root α_i belong to the same W-orbit, then we derive another explicit formula of universal R-matrix of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$. In fact, this is equivalent to make base transformation for vector spaces $U_q^+(\mathfrak{b})$ and $U_q^-(\mathfrak{b})$. Specially, for $U_q(\mathfrak{so}_7)$, we choose the following expressions for composition positive root vectors:

$$E_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2} = -E_2E_1 + q^{-1}E_1E_2, \quad E_{\alpha_2+\alpha_3} = -E_3E_2 + q^{-1}E_2E_3,$$
$$E_{\alpha_2+2\alpha_3} = E_3^{(2)}E_2 - q^{-\frac{1}{2}}E_3E_2E_3 + q^{-1}E_2E_3^{(2)}, \quad \text{where } E_3^{(2)} := \frac{(E_3)^2}{[2]_{q_3}!},$$
$$E_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3} = -E_3E_2E_1 + q^{-1}E_2E_3E_1 + q^{-1}E_1E_3E_2 - q^{-2}E_1E_2E_3,$$
$$E_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2+2\alpha_3} = -E_3^{(2)}E_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2} - q^{-1}E_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}E_3^{(2)} + q^{-\frac{1}{2}}E_3E_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}E_3,$$
$$E_{\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+2\alpha_3} = -E_2E_{\alpha_2+2\alpha_3}E_1 + q^{-1}E_2E_1E_{\alpha_2+2\alpha_3} + q^{-1}E_{\alpha_2+2\alpha_3}E_1E_2 - q^{-2}E_1E_{\alpha_2+2\alpha_3}E_2.$$

For negative root vectors:

$$F_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2} = \frac{1}{2}qF_2F_1 - \frac{1}{2}q^2F_1F_2, \quad F_{\alpha_2+\alpha_3} = (q - \frac{1}{2}q^{\frac{3}{2}})F_3F_2 - (q^2 - \frac{1}{2}q^{\frac{5}{2}})F_2F_3,$$
$$F_{\alpha_2+2\alpha_3} = F_2F_3^{(2)} - q^{\frac{1}{2}}F_3F_2F_3 + qF_3^{(2)}F_2, \quad \text{where } F_3^{(2)} := \frac{(F_3)^2}{[2]_{q_3}!},$$
$$F_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3} = -q^{-2}F_3F_2F_1 + q^{-1}F_2F_3F_1 + q^{-1}F_1F_3F_2 - F_1F_2F_3,$$
$$F_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2+2\alpha_3} = -F_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}F_3^{(2)} - q^{-1}F_3^{(2)}F_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2} + q^{-\frac{1}{2}}F_3F_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}F_3,$$
$$F_{\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+2\alpha_3} = -F_1F_{\alpha_2+2\alpha_3}F_2 + qF_{\alpha_2+2\alpha_3}F_1F_2 + qF_2F_1F_{\alpha_2+2\alpha_3} - q^2F_2F_{\alpha_2+2\alpha_3}F_1.$$

According to

$$\langle E_kE_l, F_mF_n\rangle' = \delta_{km}\delta_{ln}\frac{1}{q_m - q_m^{-1}}\frac{1}{q_m - q_m^{-1}} + \delta_{lm}\delta_{kn}q^{-(\alpha_n,\alpha_l)}\frac{1}{q_m - q_m^{-1}}\frac{1}{q_m - q_m^{-1}},$$

$$\begin{aligned}\langle E_iE_jE_k, F_mF_nF_p\rangle' &= [\delta_{im}\delta_{kn}\delta_{jp}+\delta_{km}\delta_{in}\delta_{jp}q^{-(\alpha_k,\alpha_n)}]q^{-(\alpha_k,\alpha_p)}\tfrac{1}{(q_m-q_m^{-1})(q_n-q_n^{-1})(q_j-q_j^{-1})}\\ &+[\delta_{jm}\delta_{kn}\delta_{ip}+\delta_{km}\delta_{jn}\delta_{ip}q^{-(\alpha_k,\alpha_n)}]q^{-(\alpha_j+\alpha_k,\alpha_p)}\tfrac{1}{(q_m-q_m^{-1})(q_n-q_n^{-1})(q_i-q_i^{-1})}\\ &+[\delta_{im}\delta_{jn}\delta_{kp}+\delta_{jm}\delta_{in}\delta_{kp}q^{-(\alpha_j,\alpha_n)}]\tfrac{1}{(q_m-q_m^{-1})(q_n-q_n^{-1})(q_k-q_k^{-1})},\end{aligned}$$

it can check that $\langle E_\beta, F_\beta\rangle' = \langle E_i, F_i\rangle'$ for these new root vectors if a positive β and a simple root α_i belong to the same W-orbit, i.e., they are satisfy the condition (6). Starting from this new dual base, we can check that the corresponding matrix PR_{VV} is symmetric. In virtue of the actions of spin modules in Figure 1, and E_k^2, F_k^2 is zero as operators, these new root vectors as operators have the following equalities:

(101)

$$\begin{gathered}E_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2} = -E_2E_1+q^{-1}E_1E_2,\quad E_{\alpha_2+\alpha_3} = -E_3E_2+q^{-1}E_2E_3,\quad E_{\alpha_2+2\alpha_3} = -q^{-\frac{1}{2}}E_3E_2E_3,\\ E_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3} = -E_3E_2E_1+q^{-1}E_2E_3E_1+q^{-1}E_1E_3E_2-q^{-2}E_1E_2E_3,\\ E_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2+2\alpha_3} = q^{-\frac{1}{2}}E_3E_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}E_3 = -q^{-\frac{1}{2}}E_3E_2E_1E_3+q^{-\frac{3}{2}}E_3E_1E_2E_3,\\ E_{\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+2\alpha_3} = -q^{-\frac{3}{2}}E_2E_1E_3E_2E_3-q^{-\frac{3}{2}}E_3E_2E_3E_1E_2;\\ F_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2} = \tfrac{1}{2}qF_2F_1-\tfrac{1}{2}q^2F_1F_2,\quad F_{\alpha_2+\alpha_3} = (q-\tfrac{1}{2}q^{\frac{3}{2}})F_3F_2-(q^2-\tfrac{1}{2}q^{\frac{5}{2}})F_2F_3,\\ F_{\alpha_2+2\alpha_3} = -q^{\frac{1}{2}}F_3F_2F_3,\quad F_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3} = -q^{-2}F_3F_2F_1+q^{-1}F_2F_3F_1+q^{-1}F_1F_3F_2-F_1F_2F_3,\\ F_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2+2\alpha_3} = q^{-\frac{1}{2}}F_3F_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}F_3 = \tfrac{1}{2}q^{\frac{1}{2}}F_3F_2F_1F_3-\tfrac{1}{2}q^{\frac{3}{2}}F_3F_1F_2F_3,\\ F_{\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+2\alpha_3} = -q^{\frac{3}{2}}F_2F_1F_3F_2F_3-q^{\frac{3}{2}}F_3F_2F_3F_1F_2.\end{gathered}$$

By (8), we know that

$$\begin{aligned}&B_{VV}\circ(T_V\otimes T_V)(\Re)(v_i\otimes v_j)\\ =\ &B_{VV}\circ(T_V\otimes T_V)\Big(\textstyle\sum_{[(\underline{r},\underline{i}),(\underline{t},\underline{j})]\in\Omega_{kl}^{ij}} x_{(\underline{t},\underline{j})}^{(\underline{r},\underline{i})}E_{\underline{i}}^{\underline{r}}\otimes F_{\underline{j}}^{\underline{t}}+others\Big)(v_i\otimes v_j)\\ =\ &q^{(\mu_k,\mu_l)}\textstyle\sum_{[(\underline{r},\underline{i}),(\underline{t},\underline{j})]\in\Omega_{kl}^{ij}} x_{(\underline{t},\underline{j})}^{(\underline{r},\underline{i})}(v_k\otimes v_l)+others,\end{aligned}$$

where coefficients $x_{(\underline{t},\underline{j})}^{(\underline{r},\underline{i})}\in\mathbb{C}[q,q^{-1}]$, and the set Ω_{kl}^{ij} is defined as

$$\Omega_{kl}^{ij} := \{[(\underline{r},\underline{i}),(\underline{t},\underline{j})]|E_{\underline{i}}^{\underline{r}}(v_i) = E_{i_1}^{r_1}E_{i_2}^{r_2}\cdots E_{i_s}^{r_s}(v_i) = v_k, F_{\underline{j}}^{\underline{t}}(v_j) = F_{j_1}^{t_1}F_{j_2}^{t_2}\cdots F_{j_s}^{t_s}(v_j) = v_l\}.$$

$E_{\underline{i}}^{\underline{r}}, F_{\underline{j}}^{\underline{t}}$ can be divided into the following three cases:

(1) Diagonal entries:

Firstly, the diagonal entries in the matrix R_{VV} satisfy ${R_{VV}}_{ij}^{ij} = {R_{VV}}_{ji}^{ji} = q^{(\mu_i,\mu_j)}$, where μ_i,μ_j denote the weight of v_i, v_j, respectively. Next we will consider the non-diagonal entries in the matrix R_{VV}. Since the operators E_i^k's and F_i^k's are 0 for all $k\geq 2$, we have the equality

$$B_{VV}\circ(T_V\otimes T_V)(\Re)(v_i\otimes v_j) = B_{VV}\circ(T_V\otimes T_V)\Big(1+\sum_\alpha E_\alpha\otimes F_\alpha\Big)(v_i\otimes v_j).$$

(2) The entries related to simple root vectors:

According to the spin representation, suppose that there exist simple root vectors E_β and F_β such that $E_\beta(v_i) = v_k, F_\beta(v_j) = v_l$, which is equivalent to $E_\beta(v_l) = v_j, F_\beta(v_k) = v_i$. Namely, the entry $R_{VV}{}^{ij}_{kl} \neq 0$, also is equivalent to $R_{VV}{}^{lk}_{ji} \neq 0$. Moreover, by Lemma 4.1, the entry $R_{VV}{}^{ij}_{kl} = (q_\beta - q_\beta^{-1})q^{(\mu_k,\mu_l)} = (q_\beta - q_\beta^{-1})q^{(\mu_i,\mu_j)}$. Correspondingly, $R_{VV}{}^{lk}_{ji} = (q_\beta - q_\beta^{-1})q^{(\mu_i,\mu_j)}$, we obtain $R_{VV}{}^{ij}_{kl} = R_{VV}{}^{lk}_{ji}$, which is equivalent to $(PR_{VV})^{ji}_{kl} = (PR_{VV})^{kl}_{ji}$.

(3) The entries related to quantum composite root vectors:

The expressions of all composite vectors as operators are listed by (101) as above, which are q-commutators of simple root vectors. Thereby, the actions of these composite root vectors can be deduced by the actions of simple root vectors. Suppose that there are v_i, v_j, v_k, v_l such that $E^{r_1}_{i_1}E^{r_2}_{i_2}\cdots E^{r_s}_{i_s}(v_i) = v_k$, $F^{t_1}_{j_1}F^{t_2}_{j_2}\cdots F^{t_s}_{j_s}(v_j) = v_l$, which is equivalent to $F^{r_s}_{i_s}\cdots F^{r_2}_{i_2}F^{r_1}_{i_1}(v_k) = v_i$, $E^{t_s}_{j_s}\cdots E^{t_2}_{j_2}E^{t_1}_{j_1}(v_l) = v_j$, then $(\mu_i,\mu_j) = (\mu_k,\mu_l)$ by Lemma 4.1. On the other hand, according to

$$\Re = x^{(\underline{r},\underline{i})}_{(\underline{t},\underline{j})}E^{r_1}_{i_1}E^{r_2}_{i_2}\cdots E^{r_s}_{i_s}\otimes F^{t_1}_{j_1}F^{t_2}_{j_2}\cdots F^{t_s}_{j_s} + x^{(\underline{t},\underline{j})}_{(\underline{r},\underline{i})}E^{t_s}_{j_s}\cdots E^{t_2}_{j_2}E^{t_1}_{j_1}\otimes F^{r_s}_{i_s}\cdots F^{r_2}_{i_2}F^{r_1}_{i_1} + others,$$

then the corresponding entries are

$$R_{VV}{}^{ij}_{kl} = x^{(\underline{r},\underline{i})}_{(\underline{t},\underline{j})}q^{(\mu_k,\mu_l)}, \quad R_{VV}{}^{lk}_{ji} = x^{(\underline{t},\underline{j})}_{(\underline{r},\underline{i})}q^{(\mu_i,\mu_j)} = x^{(\underline{t},\underline{j})}_{(\underline{r},\underline{i})}q^{(\mu_k,\mu_l)}.$$

Thence, we obtain the following equivalent relation

$$R_{VV}{}^{ij}_{kl} = R_{VV}{}^{lk}_{ji} \Longleftrightarrow x^{(\underline{r},\underline{i})}_{(\underline{t},\underline{j})} = x^{(\underline{t},\underline{j})}_{(\underline{r},\underline{i})}. \tag{102}$$

Furthermore, associated with the expressions (101) of composition root vectors as operators, it is easy to check that the equality $x^{(\underline{r},\underline{i})}_{(\underline{t},\underline{j})} = x^{(\underline{t},\underline{j})}_{(\underline{r},\underline{i})}$ in (102) is always true. Thereby, we have the equality $R_{VV}{}^{ij}_{kl} = R_{VV}{}^{lk}_{ji}$, namely, $(PR_{VV})^{ji}_{kl} = (PR_{VV})^{kl}_{ji}$.

Let us demonstrate this by an example. For example, starting from the composite root $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2$, we know that the corresponding root vectors are $E_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2} = -E_2E_1 + q^{-1}E_1E_2$ and $F_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2} = \frac{1}{2}qF_2F_1 - \frac{1}{2}q^2F_1F_2$ by (101). Then we have

(103)

$$\begin{aligned}
&B_{VV}\circ(T_V\otimes T_V)(\Re)(v_i\otimes v_j)\\
&= B_{VV}\circ(T_V\otimes T_V)\Big((q_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2} - q^{-1}_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2})E_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}\otimes F_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}\Big)(v_i\otimes v_j) \ + \ \text{others}\\
&= B_{VV}\circ(q\text{-}q^{-1})(T_V\otimes T_V)\Big((-E_2E_1 + q^{-1}E_1E_2)\otimes(\tfrac{1}{2}qF_2F_1 - \tfrac{1}{2}q^2F_1F_2)\Big)(v_i\otimes v_j)+\text{others}\\
&= B_{VV}\circ(q\text{-}q^{-1})(T_V\otimes T_V)(\tfrac{E_2E_1\otimes F_1F_2}{2q^{-2}} - \tfrac{E_2E_1\otimes F_2F_1}{2q} - \tfrac{E_1E_2\otimes F_1F_2}{2q} + \tfrac{1}{2}E_1E_2\otimes F_2F_1)(v_i\otimes v_j)+\text{others}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, firstly, we analyze explicitly the entries obtained by the operator $T_V\otimes T_V(E_2E_1\otimes F_1F_2)$. If $T_V(E_2E_1)(v_i) = v_k$ and $T_V(F_1F_2)(v_j) = v_l$, then we obtain equivalently $T_V(F_1F_2)(v_k) = v_i$ and $T_V(E_2E_1)(v_l) = v_j$. Thus $R_{VV}{}^{ij}_{kl} = \frac{1}{2}q^2(q - q^{-1})q^{(\mu_k,\mu_l)} = \frac{1}{2}q^2(q - q^{-1})q^{(\mu_i,\mu_j)} = R_{VV}{}^{lk}_{ji}$, and $(PR_{VV})^{ji}_{kl} = (PR_{VV})^{kl}_{ji}$. Secondly, suppose that there exist v_i, v_j and v_k, v_l such that

$T_V(E_2E_1)(v_i) = v_k, T_V(F_2F_1)(v_j) = v_l$, by the action of spin module, which is equivalent to that $T_V(F_1F_2)(v_k) = v_i, T_V(E_1E_2)(v_l) = v_j$. That is,

$$(T_V\otimes T_V)(E_2E_1\otimes F_2F_1)(v_i\otimes v_j)=v_k\otimes v_l \Leftrightarrow (T_V\otimes T_V)(E_1E_2\otimes F_1F_2)(v_l\otimes v_k)=v_j\otimes v_i. \tag{104}$$

Moreover, by (103), we know that the coefficients of $E_2E_1\otimes F_2F_1$ and $E_1E_2\otimes F_1F_2$ are equal, and both are $\frac{1}{2}q$ (i.e. satisfy the condition $x_{(\underline{t},\underline{j})}^{(\underline{r},\underline{i})} = x_{(\underline{r},\underline{i})}^{(\underline{t},\underline{j})}$ in (102)). So the corresponding entries have the equality $R_{VV}{}_{kl}^{ij} = \frac{1}{2}q(q-q^{-1})q^{(\mu_k,\mu_l)} = \frac{1}{2}q(q-q^{-1})q^{(\mu_i,\mu_j)} = R_{VV}{}_{ji}^{lk}$. For the situation of operator $\frac{1}{2}E_1E_2\otimes F_2F_1$ can be analyzed in a similar way.

The proof is complete. □

According to Lemma 3.5, we need to seek the pair (R, R') to determine the dually-paired braided groups $V(R', R)$ and $V^\vee(R', R_{21}^{-1})$. To this end, we have to figure out the minimal polynomial of the braiding PR_{VV} in advance. Notice the size of the matrix PR_{VV} is 64×64 due to $\dim V = 8$, it is not easy to obtain its minimal polynomial directly. In the course of demonstration of the following Proposition, we will give an ingenious method to capture the minimal polynomial by taking advantage of nice features of the representation involved. For simplicity, write $\hat{R}_{VV}$ for PR_{VV}.

Proposition 4.2. *Associated to the spin $U_q(B_3)$-module V, the braiding matrix $\hat{R}_{VV}$ obeys the minimal polynomial equation*

$$(\hat{R}_{VV} + q^{-\frac{1}{4}}I)(\hat{R}_{VV} - q^{\frac{3}{4}}I)(\hat{R}_{VV} + q^{-\frac{9}{4}}I)(\hat{R}_{VV} + q^{-\frac{21}{4}}I) = 0.$$

Proof. Note that $V^{\otimes 2} = V_1\oplus V_2\oplus V_3\oplus V_4$ [FH], where V_i's are the irreducible submodules with highest weights $\varepsilon_1+\varepsilon_2+\varepsilon_3, \varepsilon_1+\varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_1, 0$, respectively. This means that $\hat{R}_{VV}$ has 4 different eigenvalues (since the braiding $\hat{R}_{VV}$ as module homomorphism is of diagonal type, by Proposition 4.1), denoted by x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4. Thus $f(t) = (t-x_1)(t-x_2)(t-x_3)(t-x_4)$ is the minimal polynomial of $\hat{R}_{VV}$, so $\mathcal{M} = f(\hat{R}_{VV}) = 0$.

Let $\triangle_1, \triangle_2, \triangle_3, \triangle_4$ denote the elementary symmetric polynomials: $x_1+x_2+x_3+x_4$, $x_1x_2+x_1x_3+x_2x_3+(x_1+x_2+x_3)x_4$, $x_1x_2x_3+x_1x_2x_4+x_1x_3x_4+x_2x_3x_4$, $x_1x_2x_3x_4$, respectively.

Relative to the order of the fixed basis $v_i\otimes v_j$ $(1\le i,j\le 8)$, we will consider some special rows in matrix $\hat{R}_{VV}-x_iI$. Obviously, there is only one nonzero entry in the row (88), that is, $R_{VV}{}_{88}^{88} = q^{\frac{3}{4}}$. So we have

$$\mathcal{M}_{88}^{88} = (q^{\frac{3}{4}}-x_1)(q^{\frac{3}{4}}-x_2)(q^{\frac{3}{4}}-x_3)(q^{\frac{3}{4}}-x_4). \tag{105}$$

From it, then we obtain $x_i = q^{\frac{3}{4}}$ for a certain x_i. Next, we consider the row (12). Only two nonzero entries occur in row (12), which locate at columns (12) and (21), namely,

$(\hat{R}_{VV}-x_iI)\,{}^{12}_{12}=-x_i$, $(\hat{R}_{VV}-x_iI)\,{}^{12}_{21}=q^{\frac{1}{4}}$. Now we turn to seek those nonzero entries appearing in row (12) of matrix $(\hat{R}_{VV}-x_iI)(\hat{R}_{VV}-x_jI)$. For any column (mn), we have

$$[(\hat{R}_{VV}-x_iI)(\hat{R}_{VV}-x_jI)]^{1\,2}_{mn}=(\hat{R}_{VV}-x_iI)^{12}_{12}(\hat{R}_{VV}-x_jI)^{1\,2}_{mn}+(\hat{R}_{VV}-x_iI)^{12}_{21}(\hat{R}_{VV}-x_jI)^{2\,1}_{mn}.$$

From the above equality, we need to further find those nonzero entries in row (21) of matrix $\hat{R}_{VV}-x_jI$, listed as $(\hat{R}_{VV}-x_jI)\,{}^{21}_{12}=q^{\frac{1}{4}}$, $(\hat{R}_{VV}-x_jI)\,{}^{21}_{21}=q^{\frac{1}{4}}(q^{\frac{1}{2}}-q^{-\frac{1}{2}})-x_j$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}[(\hat{R}_{VV}-x_iI)(\hat{R}_{VV}-x_jI)]^{12}_{12}&=(\hat{R}_{VV}-x_iI)^{12}_{12}(\hat{R}_{VV}-x_jI)^{12}_{12}+(\hat{R}_{VV}-x_iI)^{12}_{21}(\hat{R}_{VV}-x_jI)^{21}_{12}\\&=x_1x_2+q^{\frac{1}{2}},\\[(\hat{R}_{VV}-x_iI)(\hat{R}_{VV}-x_jI)]^{12}_{21}&=(\hat{R}_{VV}-x_iI)^{12}_{12}(\hat{R}_{VV}-x_jI)^{12}_{21}+(\hat{R}_{VV}-x_iI)^{12}_{21}(\hat{R}_{VV}-x_jI)^{21}_{21}\\&=-q^{\frac{1}{4}}(x_1+x_2)+(q-1).\end{aligned}$$

Namely, those nonzero entries still locate at columns (12) and (21) in row (12) of matrix $(\hat{R}_{VV}-x_iI)(\hat{R}_{VV}-x_jI)$. According to the same analysis, the nonzero entries in row (12) of $(\hat{R}_{VV}-x_1I)(\hat{R}_{VV}-x_2I)(\hat{R}_{VV}-x_3I)$ still lie at columns (12) and (21), given by

$$\begin{aligned}&[(\hat{R}_{VV}-x_1I)(\hat{R}_{VV}-x_2I)(\hat{R}_{VV}-x_3I)]^{12}_{12}\\&=[(\hat{R}_{VV}-x_1I)(\hat{R}_{VV}-x_2I)]^{12}_{12}(\hat{R}_{VV}-x_3I)^{12}_{12}+[(\hat{R}_{VV}-x_1I)(\hat{R}_{VV}-x_2I)]^{12}_{21}(\hat{R}_{VV}-x_3I)^{21}_{12}\\&=-x_1x_2x_3-q^{\frac{1}{2}}(x_1+x_2+x_3)+q^{\frac{1}{4}}(q-1),\\&[(\hat{R}_{VV}-x_1I)(\hat{R}_{VV}-x_2I)(\hat{R}_{VV}-x_3I)]^{12}_{21}\\&=[(\hat{R}_{VV}-x_1I)(\hat{R}_{VV}-x_2I)]^{12}_{12}(\hat{R}_{VV}-x_3I)^{12}_{21}+[(\hat{R}_{VV}-x_1I)(\hat{R}_{VV}-x_2I)]^{12}_{21}(\hat{R}_{VV}-x_3I)^{21}_{21}\\&=q^{\frac{1}{4}}(x_1x_2+x_1x_3+x_2x_3)-(q-1)(x_1+x_2+x_3)+q^{\frac{1}{4}}(q-q^{-1})(q^{\frac{1}{2}}-q^{-\frac{1}{2}})+q^{\frac{3}{4}}.\end{aligned}$$

Thereby, by the same reasoning, we get the entries lying at row (12) and columns (12), (21) of matrix $\mathcal{M}=(\hat{R}_{VV}-x_1I)(\hat{R}_{VV}-x_2I)(\hat{R}_{VV}-x_3I)(\hat{R}_{VV}-x_4I)$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{M}^{12}_{12}=&\,[(\hat{R}_{VV}-x_1I)(\hat{R}_{VV}-x_2I)(\hat{R}_{VV}-x_3I)]^{12}_{12}(\hat{R}_{VV}-x_4I)^{12}_{12}\\&+[(\hat{R}_{VV}-x_1I)(\hat{R}_{VV}-x_2I)(\hat{R}_{VV}-x_3I)]^{12}_{21}(\hat{R}_{VV}-x_4I)^{21}_{12},\\=&\,\triangle_4+q^{\frac{1}{2}}\triangle_2-q^{\frac{1}{4}}(q-1)\triangle_1+(q-1)^2+q,\end{aligned}\tag{106}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{M}^{12}_{21}=&\,[(\hat{R}_{VV}-x_1I)(\hat{R}_{VV}-x_2I)(\hat{R}_{VV}-x_3I)]^{12}_{12}(\hat{R}_{VV}-x_4I)^{12}_{21}\\&+[(\hat{R}_{VV}-x_1I)(\hat{R}_{VV}-x_2I)(\hat{R}_{VV}-x_3I)]^{12}_{21}(\hat{R}_{VV}-x_4I)^{21}_{21},\\=&\,-q^{\frac{1}{4}}\triangle_3+(q-1)\triangle_2-[q^{\frac{1}{4}}(q-1)(q^{\frac{1}{2}}-q^{-\frac{1}{2}})+q^{\frac{3}{4}}]\triangle_1+(q-1)(q^{\frac{3}{2}}+q^{-\frac{1}{2}}).\end{aligned}\tag{107}$$

Similarly, Associated with nonzero entries in rows (58), (67), (76), (85):

$$\begin{gathered}(\hat{R}_{VV}-x_i)^{58}_{58}=-x_i,\quad(\hat{R}_{VV}-x_i)^{58}_{85}=q^{-\frac{1}{4}}=(\hat{R}_{VV}-x_i)^{85}_{58},\quad(\hat{R}_{VV}-x_i)^{67}_{67}=-x_i,\\(\hat{R}_{VV}-x_i)^{67}_{76}=q^{-\frac{1}{4}}=(\hat{R}_{VV}-x_i)^{76}_{67},\quad(\hat{R}_{VV}-x_i)^{67}_{85}=q^{-\frac{1}{4}}(q^{\frac{1}{2}}-q^{-\frac{1}{2}})=(\hat{R}_{VV}-x_i)^{85}_{67},\\(\hat{R}_{VV}-x_i)^{76}_{76}=q^{-\frac{1}{4}}(q-q^{-1})-x_i,\quad(\hat{R}_{VV}-x_i)^{76}_{85}=-q^{-\frac{5}{4}}(q^{\frac{1}{2}}-q^{-\frac{1}{2}})=(\hat{R}_{VV}-x_i)^{85}_{76},\\(\hat{R}_{VV}-x_i)^{85}_{85}=q^{-\frac{1}{4}}(q-1)(1+q^{-2})-x_i,\end{gathered}$$

we obtain

$$\mathcal{M}_{58}^{58} = \triangle_4+q^{-\frac{1}{2}}\triangle_2-q^{-\frac{3}{4}}(q-1)(1+q^{-2})\triangle_1+q^{-1}+(1-q^{-1})^2(1+q^{-2})(1+q+q^{-1}). \tag{108}$$

Then according to (105)–(108), and $\mathcal{M} = 0$, we obtain the following equations:

$$\begin{cases} \triangle_4 - q^{\frac{3}{4}}\triangle_3 + q^{\frac{3}{2}}\triangle_2 - q^{\frac{9}{4}}\triangle_1 + q^3 = 0, \\ \triangle_4 + q^{\frac{1}{2}}\triangle_2 - q^{\frac{1}{4}}(q-1)\triangle_1 + (q-1)^2 + q = 0, \\ -q^{\frac{1}{4}}\triangle_3+(q-1)\triangle_2-[q^{\frac{1}{4}}(q-1)(q^{\frac{1}{2}}-q^{-\frac{1}{2}})+q^{\frac{3}{4}}]\triangle_1+(q-1)(q^{\frac{3}{2}}+q^{-\frac{1}{2}}) = 0, \\ \triangle_4 + q^{-\frac{1}{2}}\triangle_2-q^{-\frac{3}{4}}(q-1)(1+q^{-2})\triangle_1+q^{-1}+(1-q^{-1})^2(1+q^{-2})(1+q+q^{-1}) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Solving the system of equations, we get $\begin{cases} \triangle_1 = q^{\frac{3}{4}} - q^{-\frac{1}{4}} - q^{-\frac{9}{4}} - q^{-\frac{21}{4}}, \\ \triangle_2 = q^{-\frac{5}{2}} + q^{-\frac{11}{2}} + q^{-\frac{15}{2}} - q^{\frac{1}{2}} - q^{-\frac{3}{2}} - q^{-\frac{9}{2}}, \\ \triangle_3 = q^{-\frac{7}{4}} + q^{-\frac{19}{4}} + q^{-\frac{27}{4}} - q^{-\frac{31}{4}}, \\ \triangle_4 = -q^{-7}, \end{cases}$

and then $x_1 = q^{\frac{3}{4}}, x_2 = -q^{-\frac{1}{4}}, x_3 = -q^{-\frac{9}{4}}, x_4 = -q^{-\frac{21}{4}}$. So the proof is complete. □

Having the minimal polynomial equation of the braiding $\hat{R}_{VV}$ in hand, it is necessary to consider at which eigenvalue of $\hat{R}_{VV}$ we should make its normalization in order to construct the quantum group of higher-one rank we expect? Corresponding to the n-dimensional representation T_V with basis v_i we choose, we know that the new simple root vectors E_α, F_α and the group-like element K_α are always identified respectively as e^n, f_n, $(m^+)_n^n c^{-1}$ from those double-bosonization constructions in [HH]. Denote by μ_n the weight of v_n, we obtain $R_{VV}{}_{nn}^{nn} = q^{(\mu_n,\mu_n)}$. In order to construct the larger quantum group we want, the most important cross relation is $e^n((m^+)_n^n c^{-1}) = R_{nn}^{nn}(((m^+)_n^n c^{-1}))e^n$ because it gives us the length of the new additional simple root α, namely, $e^n((m^+)_n^n c^{-1}) = q^{(\alpha,\alpha)}(((m^+)_n^n c^{-1}))e^n$. From these, we know at which one of eigenvalues we should take its normalization in virtue of $R_{VV}{}_{nn}^{nn}$ and $q^{(\alpha,\alpha)}$ such that the value of R_{nn}^{nn} satisfy our requirement. Thus we obtain the following

Proposition 4.3. *Starting from an appropriate $U_q(\mathfrak{g}')$-module with highest weight μ for a Lie subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}' \subset \mathfrak{g}$ of corank* 1, *if we can construct inductively quantum group $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ from $U_q(\mathfrak{g}')$ by double-bosonization procedure, then the quantum group normalization constant is $\lambda = \frac{q^{(\alpha,\alpha)}}{q^{(\mu,\mu)}}$, where α is the additional simple root for $\mathfrak{g}$.*

By Proposition 4.3, we choose the normalization constant $\lambda = q^{-\frac{1}{4}}$ for the construction of $U_q(F_4)$ from this spin representation. Then setting

$$R = q^{\frac{1}{4}}R_{VV}, \qquad R' = R(\hat{R})^2 + (q^{-2} - q + q^{-5})R\hat{R} + (q^{-7} - q^{-4} - q^{-1})R - (q^{-6} - 1)P, \tag{109}$$

we obtain $(PR + I)(PR' - I) = 0$. The entries in $m^{\pm}$-matrices are given by the following Lemma.

Lemma 4.2. *Corresponding to the* 8*-dimensional spin representation of* $U_h(B_3)$*, the entries in the matrices* $m^{\pm}$ *we need are listed as follows.*

$$(m^+)_5^3 = -(q-q^{-1})E_1K_1^{-\frac{1}{2}}K_3^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (m^+)_5^5 = K_1^{-\frac{1}{2}}K_3^{\frac{1}{2}},$$
$$(m^+)_7^6 = -(q-q^{-1})E_2K_1^{-\frac{1}{2}}K_2^{-1}K_3^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (m^+)_7^7 = K_1^{-\frac{1}{2}}K_2^{-1}K_3^{-\frac{1}{2}},$$
$$(m^+)_8^7 = -(q^{\frac{1}{2}}-q^{-\frac{1}{2}})E_3K_1^{-\frac{1}{2}}K_2^{-1}K_3^{-\frac{3}{2}}, \quad (m^+)_8^8 = K_1^{-\frac{1}{2}}K_2^{-1}K_3^{-\frac{3}{2}}.$$
$$(m^-)_3^5 = q(q-q^{-1})K_1^{\frac{1}{2}}K_3^{-\frac{1}{2}}F_1, \quad (m^-)_5^5 = K_1^{\frac{1}{2}}K_3^{-\frac{1}{2}},$$
$$(m^-)_6^7 = q(q-q^{-1})K_1^{\frac{1}{2}}K_2K_3^{\frac{1}{2}}F_2, \quad (m^-)_7^7 = K_1^{\frac{1}{2}}K_2K_3^{\frac{1}{2}},$$
$$(m^-)_7^8 = q(q^{\frac{1}{2}}-q^{-\frac{1}{2}})K_1^{\frac{1}{2}}K_2K_3^{\frac{3}{2}}F_3, \quad (m^-)_8^8 = K_1^{\frac{1}{2}}K_2K_3^{\frac{3}{2}}.$$

With these data in hand, we have the following

Theorem 4.1. *Identify elements* e^8, $f_8, (m^+)_8^8c^{-1}$ *with the additional simple root vectors* E_4, F_4 *and the group-like* K_4*, then the quantum group* $U(V^\vee(R', R_{21}^{-1}), \widetilde{U_q^{ext}(B_3)}, V(R', R))$ *is exactly the* $U_q(F_4)$ *with* $K_i^{\frac{1}{2}}$ *adjoined,* $1 \le i \le 3$*.*

Proof. According to the cross relations in Theorem 3.4, we obtain

$$e^8(m^+)_8^8c^{-1} = \lambda R_{88}^{88}(m^+)_8^8e^8c^{-1} = R_{88}^{88}(m^+)_8^8c^{-1}e^8 = q^{\frac{1}{4}}R_{VV}{}_{88}^{88}(m^+)_8^8c^{-1}e^8 = q(m^+)_8^8c^{-1}e^8.$$

Then with the above identification, we have $E_4K_4 = qK_4E_4$. The relations between E_4 and K_i can be obtained by the following relations

$$(m^+)_8^8K_3 = (m^+)_7^7, \quad e^8(m^+)_5^5 = \lambda R_{58}^{58}(m^+)_5^5e^8 = q^{-\frac{1}{4}}(m^+)_5^5e^8,$$
$$e^8(m^+)_7^7 = \lambda R_{78}^{78}(m^+)_7^7e^8 = q^{\frac{1}{4}}(m^+)_7^7e^8, \quad e^8(m^+)_8^8 = \lambda R_{88}^{88}(m^+)_8^8e^8 = q^{\frac{3}{4}}(m^+)_8^8e^8.$$

From the above relations, we obtain that $e^8K_1 = K_1e^8$, $e^8K_2 = K_2e^8$ and $e^8K_3 = q^{-\frac{1}{2}}K_3e^8$. Namely,

$$E_4K_1 = K_1E_4, \quad E_4K_2 = K_2E_4, \quad E_4K_3 = q^{-\frac{1}{2}}K_3E_4.$$

The relations between $K_4 = K_1^{-\frac{1}{2}}K_2^{-1}K_3^{-\frac{3}{2}}c^{-1}$ and E_i are given by

$$E_1K_4 = E_1K_1^{-\frac{1}{2}}K_2^{-1}K_3^{-\frac{3}{2}}c^{-1} = q^{-1}qK_1^{-\frac{1}{2}}K_2^{-1}K_3^{-\frac{3}{2}}c^{-1}E_1 = K_4E_1,$$
$$E_2K_4 = E_2K_1^{-\frac{1}{2}}K_2^{-1}K_3^{-\frac{3}{2}}c^{-1} = q^{\frac{1}{2}}q^{-2}q^{\frac{2}{3}}K_1^{-\frac{1}{2}}K_2^{-1}K_3^{-\frac{3}{2}}c^{-1}E_2 = K_4E_2,$$
$$E_3K_4 = E_3K_1^{-\frac{1}{2}}K_2^{-1}K_3^{-\frac{3}{2}}c^{-1} = qq^{-\frac{3}{2}}K_1^{-\frac{1}{2}}K_2^{-1}K_3^{-\frac{3}{2}}c^{-1}E_3 = q^{-\frac{1}{2}}K_4E_3.$$

We will check the q-Serre relations related to E_i, which also can be obtained by the cross relations in Theorem 3.4. In fact, by Lemma 4.2 and Theorem 3.4, we have

$$(m^+)_5^3 = -(q-q^{-1})E_1(m^+)_5^5, \quad e^8(m^+)_5^3 = \lambda R_{38}^{38}(m^+)_5^3e^8 = q^{-\frac{1}{4}}(m^+)_5^3e^8,$$

These yield $e^8E_1 = E_1e^8$, namely, $E_4E_1 = E_1E_4$. On the other hand, starting from the following cross relations (followed by Lemma 4.2 and Theorem 3.4, respectively)

$$(m^+)_7^6 = -(q-q^{-1})E_2(m^+)_7^7, \quad e^8(m^+)_7^6 = \lambda R_{68}^{68}(m^+)_7^6e^8 = q^{\frac{1}{4}}(m^+)_7^6e^8,$$

we obtain $e^8E_2 = E_2e^8$, namely, $E_4E_2 = E_2E_4$. In order to check the relation between E_4 (e^8) and E_3, we consider the cross relations from 4.2 and Theorem 3.4,

$$(m^+)_8^7 = -(q^{\frac{1}{2}}-q^{-\frac{1}{2}})E_3(m^+)_8^8, \quad e^7(m^+)_8^8 = \lambda R_{87}^{87}(m^+)_8^8e^7 = q^{\frac{1}{4}}(m^+)_8^8e^7,$$
$$e^8(m^+)_8^7 = q^{\frac{1}{4}}(m^+)_8^7e^8 + (q^{\frac{1}{2}}-q^{-\frac{1}{2}})q^{\frac{1}{4}}(m^+)_8^8e^7,$$

Thus we obtain that $e^7 = q^{-\frac{1}{2}}E_3e^8 - e^8E_3$. Further more, we need the relation $e^7E_3 = q^{\frac{1}{2}}E_3e^7$, which can be obtained by $e^7(m^+)_8^7 = \lambda R_{77}^{77}(m^+)_8^7e^7 = q^{\frac{3}{4}}(m^+)_8^7e^7$ (by Theorem 3.4). Combining with the above equality $e^7 = q^{-\frac{1}{2}}E_3e^8 - e^8E_3$, we obtain $e^8(E_3)^2 - (q^{\frac{1}{2}} + q^{-\frac{1}{2}})E_3e^8E_3 + (E_3)^2e^8 = 0$, namely,

$$E_4(E_3)^2 - (q^{\frac{1}{2}} + q^{-\frac{1}{2}})E_3E_4E_3 + (E_3)^2E_4 = 0.$$

On the other hand, in order to check another q-Serre relation, we need to figure out the relation between e^7 and e^8, that is, $e^8e^7 = R'^{78}_{ab}e^ae^b$. Since the nonzero entries in rows (78) and (87) of R are $R_{78}^{78} = q^{\frac{1}{2}}$, $R_{87}^{78} = q-1$, $R_{87}^{87} = q^{\frac{1}{2}}$, then we have

$$(R\hat{R}^2)_{78}^{78} = R_{78}^{78}R_{87}^{87}R_{78}^{78} + R_{87}^{78}R_{87}^{78}R_{78}^{78} = q^{\frac{3}{2}} + q^{\frac{1}{2}}(q-1)^2,$$
$$(R\hat{R}^2)_{87}^{78} = R_{78}^{78}R_{87}^{87}R_{87}^{78} + R_{87}^{78}R_{78}^{78}R_{87}^{87} + R_{87}^{78}R_{87}^{78}R_{87}^{78} = q^3 - q^2 + q - 1,$$
$$(R\hat{R})_{78}^{78} = R_{87}^{78}R_{78}^{78} = q^{\frac{1}{2}}(q-1), \quad (R\hat{R})_{87}^{78} = R_{78}^{78}R_{87}^{87} + R_{87}^{78}R_{87}^{78} = q^2 - q + 1.$$

From the formula (109) of R, R' we get, nonzero entries in rows (78) of R' are

$$R'^{78}_{78} = q^{\frac{3}{2}} + q^{\frac{1}{2}}(q-1)^2 + (q^{-2}-q+q^{-5})q^{\frac{1}{2}}(q-1) + (q^{-7}-q^{-4}-q^{-1})q^{\frac{1}{2}},$$
$$R'^{78}_{87} = q^3 - q^2 + q - 1 + (q^{-2}-q+q^{-5})(q^2-q+1) + (q^{-7}-q^{-4}-q^{-1})(q-1) - (q^{-6}-1).$$

So $e^8e^7 = R'^{78}_{78}e^7e^8 + R'^{78}_{87}e^8e^7$, then we obtain $e^8e^7 = q^{\frac{1}{2}}e^7e^8$. Combining with the above equality $e^7 = q^{-\frac{1}{2}}E_3e^8 - e^8E_3$ again, we obtain $(e^8)^2E_3 - (q^{\frac{1}{2}} + q^{-\frac{1}{2}})e^8E_3e^8 + E_3(e^8)^2 = 0$, namely,

$$(E_4)^2E_3 - (q^{\frac{1}{2}} + q^{-\frac{1}{2}})E_4E_3E_4 + E_3(E_4)^2 = 0.$$

Thence, we obtain that the Cartan matrix of the new quantum group is $\begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & -2 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$.

This completes the proof. □

4.2. **Type-crossing of $U_q(G_2)$ via $U_q(A_1)$.** From the classical cases, we know that $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_3)$ was constructed by choosing braided groups corresponding to the vector representation of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$. This inspires us to consider the spin $\frac{3}{2}$ representation $T_{\rm sp}$ of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ when constructing $U_q(G_2)$, here $T_{\rm sp}$ is taken from the 4-dimensional homogeneous subspace of degree 3 of braided group $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}_q^2)$ generated by x, y with $yx = qxy$ in the category of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$-modules. In fact, this braided group $\mathcal{A}_q(2) = \mathbb{C}_q\langle x, y\rangle$ is a left $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$-module algebra ([H]). Explicitly, starting from the 2-dimensional vector representation of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$, we have the standard 4×4 R-matrix below

$$R = \begin{pmatrix} q & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & q-q^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & q \end{pmatrix}. \qquad T = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}.$$

For such R, the FRT-bialgebra $A(R)$ has a quotient Hopf algebra generated by the entries a, b, c, d in the above matrix T, denoted by $\mathcal{O}_q(SL(2))$. Dually, there is a right $\mathcal{O}_q(SL(2))$-comodule algebra structure on $\mathcal{A}_q(2)$, namely

$$\rho(y,\ x) = (y\otimes a + x\otimes c, y\otimes b + x\otimes d) = (y,\ x)\otimes T. \tag{110}$$

On the 4-dimensional homogeneous subspace of degree 3 consisting of $x^{i-1}y^{3-(i-1)}, i = 1, 2, 3, 4$, denoted by $\mathcal{A}_q(2)^{(3)}$, there exists a right $\mathcal{O}_q(SL(2))$-subcomodule induced by (110), its corepresentation matrix is still denoted by T, given by

(111)
$$T = \begin{pmatrix} t_1^1 & t_2^1 & t_3^1 & t_4^1 \\ t_1^2 & t_2^2 & t_3^2 & t_4^2 \\ t_1^3 & t_2^3 & t_3^3 & t_4^3 \\ t_1^4 & t_2^4 & t_3^4 & t_4^4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a^3 & a^2b & ab^2 & b^3 \\ [3]_q ca^2 & a^2d + (q^{-2}+1)cab & q^{-2}cb^2 + (q^{-2}+1)adb & [3]_q db^2 \\ [3]_q c^2a & q^{-2}c^2b + (q^{-2}+1)acd & ad^2 + (q^{-2}+1)cdb & [3]_q d^2b \\ c^3 & c^2d & cd^2 & d^3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since the dual pair of $(U_q^{\rm ext}(\mathfrak{sl}_2), \mathcal{O}_q(SL(2)))$, then this 4-dimensional right $\mathcal{O}_q(SL(2))$-comodule induces a left $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$-module, called as spin $\frac{3}{2}$ representation $T_{\rm sp}$, give by

$$K_1\begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ v_3 \\ v_4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} q^{-3}v_1 \\ q^{-1}v_2 \\ qv_3 \\ q^3v_4; \end{pmatrix}, \quad E_1\begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ v_3 \\ v_4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} q^{-\frac{3}{2}}[3]^{\frac{1}{2}}v_2 \\ q^{-\frac{1}{2}}[2]v_3 \\ q^{\frac{1}{2}}[3]^{\frac{1}{2}}v_4 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad F_1\begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ v_3 \\ v_4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ q^{\frac{3}{2}}[3]^{\frac{1}{2}}v_1 \\ q^{\frac{1}{2}}[2]v_2 \\ q^{-\frac{1}{2}}[3]^{\frac{1}{2}}v_3 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{112}$$

Where v_i denote the basis of representation space of $T_{\rm sp}$, with corresponding weights $-\frac{3}{2}\alpha_1$, $-\frac{1}{2}\alpha_1$, $\frac{1}{2}\alpha_1$, $\frac{3}{2}\alpha_1$. Moreover, we observe that E_1^i, F_1^i are zero actions for all $i \geq 4$, so we

needn't to consider every summand in the expression of universal $\mathcal{R}$-matrix. Then

$$B_{VV}\circ(T_V\otimes T_V)(\mathcal{R})(v_i\otimes v_j)=B_{VV}\circ(T_V\otimes T_V)(\sum_{i=0}^{3}c_iE_1^i\otimes F_1^i)(v_i\otimes v_j),$$

here, $c_n=\frac{(1-q^{-2})^n q^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}}}{[n]_q!}$. With these, we obtain that the following 16×16 R-matrix R_{VV} corresponding to the spin representation (112).

$$\begin{pmatrix}
q^{\frac{9}{2}} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\\
0 & q^{\frac{3}{2}} & 0 & 0 & \frac{c_1[3]}{q^{-\frac{3}{2}}} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\\
0 & 0 & q^{-\frac{3}{2}} & 0 & 0 & \frac{c_1[3]^{\frac{1}{2}}}{[2]^{-1}q^{\frac{1}{2}}} & 0 & 0 & \frac{c_2[3]}{[2]^{-2}q^{\frac{3}{2}}} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\\
0 & 0 & 0 & q^{-\frac{9}{2}} & 0 & 0 & \frac{c_1[3]}{q^{\frac{5}{2}}} & 0 & 0 & \frac{c_2[3]}{[2]^{-2}q^{\frac{5}{2}}} & 0 & 0 & \frac{c_3[3]^2}{[2]^{-2}q^{\frac{9}{2}}} & 0 & 0 & 0\\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & q^{\frac{3}{2}} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & q^{\frac{1}{2}} & 0 & 0 & \frac{c_1[3]^{\frac{1}{2}}}{[2]^{-1}q^{\frac{1}{2}}} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & q^{-\frac{1}{2}} & 0 & 0 & \frac{c_1[2]^2}{q^{\frac{1}{2}}} & 0 & 0 & \frac{c_2[3]}{[2]^{-2}q^{\frac{5}{2}}} & 0 & 0 & 0\\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & q^{-\frac{3}{2}} & 0 & 0 & \frac{c_1[3]^{\frac{1}{2}}}{[2]^{-1}q^{\frac{1}{2}}} & 0 & 0 & \frac{c_2[3]}{[2]^{-2}q^{\frac{3}{2}}} & 0 & 0\\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & q^{-\frac{3}{2}} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & q^{-\frac{1}{2}} & 0 & 0 & \frac{c_1[3]}{q^{\frac{5}{2}}} & 0 & 0 & 0\\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & q^{\frac{1}{2}} & 0 & 0 & \frac{c_1[3]^{\frac{1}{2}}}{[2]^{-1}q^{\frac{1}{2}}} & 0 & 0\\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & q^{\frac{3}{2}} & 0 & 0 & \frac{c_1[3]}{q^{-\frac{3}{2}}} & 0\\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & q^{-\frac{9}{2}} & 0 & 0 & 0\\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & q^{-\frac{3}{2}} & 0 & 0\\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & q^{\frac{3}{2}} & 0\\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & q^{\frac{9}{2}}
\end{pmatrix}$$

Obviously, the matrix PR_{VV} is symmetric. For this R-matrix R_{VV}, the existence of R, R' is guaranteed by Remark 2.1. We can obtain that the quantum group normalization constant is $\lambda=\frac{q^3}{q^{(\frac{3}{2}\alpha,\frac{3}{2}\alpha)}}=\frac{q^3}{q^{\frac{9}{2}}}=q^{-\frac{3}{2}}$ by Proposition 4.3. For consistency, we denote the generators of braided groups $V^\vee(R',R_{21}^{-1})$ induced by the representation T_{sp} by f_i, and identify f_i as $x^{i-1}y^{3-(i-1)}, i=1,2,3,4$, then the algebra structure of $V^\vee(R',R_{21}^{-1})$ can be obtained directly by $yx=qxy$, not necessary deduced from the matrix R', which is different from the case of construction for $U_q(F_4)$. On the other hand, in order to obtain the relations in the new quantum group by the generalized double-bosonization construction theorem, we need to know the matrix $m^{\pm}$ consisting of FRT-generators, which are listed in the following lemma.

Lemma 4.3. *Corresponding to the spin $\frac{3}{2}$ representation, the matrices $m^{\pm}$ are given by*

$$m^{+}=\begin{pmatrix} K_1^{\frac{3}{2}} & c_1[3]^{\frac{1}{2}}q^{\frac{3}{2}}K_1^{\frac{1}{2}}E_1 & -c_2[3]^{\frac{1}{2}}K_1^{-\frac{1}{2}}E_1^2 & c_3[3][2]q^{-\frac{9}{2}}K_1^{-\frac{3}{2}}E_1^3 \\ o & K_1^{\frac{1}{2}} & c_1[2]q^{\frac{1}{2}}K_1^{-\frac{1}{2}}E_1 & -c_2[3]^{\frac{1}{2}}[2]q^{-2}K_1^{-\frac{3}{2}}E_1^2 \\ 0 & 0 & K_1^{-\frac{1}{2}} & c_1[3]^{\frac{1}{2}}q^{-\frac{1}{2}}K_1^{-\frac{3}{2}}E_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & K_1^{-\frac{3}{2}} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$m^{-}=\begin{pmatrix} K_1^{-\frac{3}{2}} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -c_1[3]^{\frac{1}{2}}q^{-\frac{9}{2}}K_1^{-\frac{1}{2}}F_1 & K_1^{-\frac{1}{2}} & 0 & 0 \\ c_2[3]^{\frac{1}{2}}[2]q^{-8}K_1^{\frac{1}{2}}F_1^2 & -c_1[2]q^{-\frac{5}{2}}K_1^{\frac{1}{2}}F_1 & K_1^{\frac{1}{2}} & 0 \\ -c_3[3][2]q^{-\frac{9}{2}}K_1^{\frac{3}{2}}F_1^3 & c_2[3]^{\frac{1}{2}}K_1^{\frac{1}{2}}F_1^2 & -c_1[3]^{\frac{1}{2}}q^{\frac{1}{2}}K_1^{\frac{3}{2}}F_1 & K_1^{\frac{3}{2}} \end{pmatrix}$$

With these, we have the following

Theorem 4.2. *Corresponding to the spin $\frac{3}{2}$ representation, the normalization constant $\lambda = q^{-\frac{3}{2}}$, and $R = q^{\frac{3}{2}}R_{VV}$. Identifying e^4, $f_4, m^{+}{}_4^4c^{-1}$ with the new additional simple root vectors E_2, F_2 and the group-like element K_2, respectively, then the resulting new quantum group $U(V^{\vee}(R', R_{21}^{-1}), U_q^{\widetilde{ext}}(\mathfrak{sl}_2), V(R', R))$ is exactly the $U_q(G_2)$ with $K_1^{\frac{1}{2}}$ adjoined.*

Proof. We just describe the cross relations and q-Serre relations in positive part, the corresponding relations in negative part can be obtained in a similar way. Under the identification of Theorem 4.2,

$$[E_2, F_2] = \frac{K_2 - K_2^{-1}}{q^3 - q^{-3}}, \Delta(E_2) = E_2 \otimes K_2 + 1 \otimes E_2, \text{and } \Delta(F_2) = F_2 \otimes 1 + K_2^{-1} \otimes F_2$$

can by obtained directly by Theorem 3.4. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} e^4(m^{+})_4^4c^{-1} &= \lambda R_{ab}^{44}(m^{+})_4^a e^b c^{-1} = \lambda R_{44}^{44}(m^{+})_4^4 e^4 c^{-1} = \lambda\tfrac{1}{\lambda}R_{44}^{44}(m^{+})_4^4 c^{-1}e^4 \\ &= q^{\frac{3}{2}}R_{VV}{}_{44}^{44}(m^{+})_4^4 c^{-1}e^4 = q^{\frac{3}{2}}q^{\frac{6}{2}}(m^{+})_4^4 c^{-1}e^4 = q^6(m^{+})_4^4 c^{-1}e^4. \end{aligned}$$

Namely, we obtain $E_2K_2 = q^6K_2E_2$. In view of the equality $(m^{+})_2^2K_1 = (m^{+})_1^1$ obtained by Lemma 4.3, and the cross relation $e^4(m^{+})_i^i = \lambda R_{i4}^{i4}(m^{+})_i^i e^4$, we obtain that $e^4K_1 = q^{-3}K_1e^4$, so $E_2K_1 = q^{-3}K_1E_2$. On the other hand, by $K_2 = K_1^{-\frac{3}{2}}c^{-1}$, we obtain that

$$E_1K_2 = E_1K_1^{-\frac{3}{2}}c^{-1} = q^{-3}K_1^{-\frac{3}{2}}c^{-1}E_1 = q^{-3}K_2E_1.$$

Since F_1 is included in the entry $(m^{-})_1^2$ of m^{-}, the relation between E_2 and F_1 can be obtained by the following cross relation

$$(m^{-})_1^2e^4 = \lambda R_{ab}^{42}e^a(m^{-})_1^b = q^{-\frac{3}{2}}e^4(m^{-})_1^2.$$

Then by the expression of $(m^-)^2_1$, we obtain

$$K_1^{-\frac{1}{2}}F_1e^4 = q^{-\frac{3}{2}}e^4K_1^{-\frac{1}{2}}F_1 = q^{-\frac{3}{2}}q^{\frac{3}{2}}K_1^{-\frac{1}{2}}e^4F_1 = K_1^{-\frac{1}{2}}e^4F_1,$$

so $F_1e^4 = e^4F_1$, namely, $[E_2, F_1] = 0$.

Let us check the q-Serre relations of $E_i, i = 1, 2$. Firstly, by Theorem 3.4. we have $e^4(m^+)^1_2 = q^{-\frac{9}{2}}(m^+)^1_2e^4 + c_1[3]q^{-\frac{5}{2}}(m^+)^2_2e^3$ and $e^4K_1 = q^{-3}K_1e^4$. So we obtain

$$e^4E_1 - q^{-3}E_1e^4 = [3]^{\frac{1}{2}}q^{-\frac{5}{2}}e^3. \tag{113}$$

Next we need to know the relation of e^3, E_1 and e^4. $f_4f_3 = x^3x^2y = q^{-3}x^2yx^3 = q^{-3}f_3f_4$ by $yx = qxy$, so $R'^{34}_{34} = q^3$, then $e^4e^3 = R'^{34}_{34}e^3e^4 = q^3e^3e^4$. Combining with (113), we have $(e^4)^2E_1 - (q^3 + q^{-3})e^4E_1e^4 + E_1(e^4)^2 = 0$, namely,

$$(E_2)^2E_1 - \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_{q^3} E_2E_1E_2 + E_1(E_2)^2 = 0.$$

On the other hand, in order to obtain the relation of e^3 and E_1, starting from the following cross relations in the new quantum group

$$e^3(m^+)^1_2 = c_1[3]^{\frac{1}{2}}[2]q^{-\frac{1}{2}}m^{+2}_2e^2 + q^{-\frac{3}{2}}(m^+)^1_2e^3,$$
$$e^2(m^+)^1_2 = c_1[3]q^{\frac{3}{2}}(m^+)^2_2e^1 + q^{\frac{3}{2}}(m^+)^1_2e^2, \quad e^1(m^+)^1_2 = q^{\frac{9}{2}}(m^+)^1_2e^1,$$

we obtain $E_1e^3 - qe^3E_1 = q^{-\frac{3}{2}}[2]e^2$, $E_1e^2 - q^{-1}e^2E_1 = [3]^{\frac{1}{2}}q^{-\frac{5}{2}}e^1$, and $e^1E_1 = q^3E_1e^1$. Combining with (113) again, we obtain $(E_1)^4e^4 - (q^{-3} + q^{-1} + q + q^3)(E_1)^3e^4E_1 + (q^2 + 1 + q^4 + 1 + q^{-4} + q^{-2})(E_1)^2e^4(E_1)^2 - (q + q^3 + q^{-1} + q^{-3})E_1e^4(E_1)^3 + e^4(E_1)^4 = 0$, namely,

$$(E_1)^4E_2 - \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_q (E_1)^3E_2E_1 + \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}_q (E_1)^2E_2(E_1)^2 - \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}_q E_1E_2(E_1)^3 + E_2(E_1)^4 = 0.$$

So the Cartan matrix of the resulting quantum group is $\begin{pmatrix} 2 & -3 \\ -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$, the proof is complete. □

References

[AHS] N. Andruskiewitsch, I. Heckenberger and H.-J. Schneider, *The Nichols algebra of a semisimple Yetter-Drinfeld module*, Amer. J. Math. **132** (2010), 1493–1547.

[AS] N. Andruskiewitsch and H.J. Schneider, *On the classification of finite-dimensional pointed Hopf algebras*, Ann. Math. **171** (2010), 375–417.

[CL] M. Cuntz and S. Lentner, *A simplicial complex of Nichols algebras*, arXiv:1503.08117.

[Dr1] V.G. Drinfeld, *Quantum groups*, In Proc. Intern. Congress of Mathematicians, Providence (R.I.) 1986 (Ed. by A.M. Gleason), AMS, 1987, Vol.**1**, pp 798.

[Dr2] —, *Hopf algebras and the quantum Yang-Baxter equation*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **283** (5) (1985), 1060–1064.

[FH] W. Fulton, J. Harris, *Representation Theory: A First Course*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **129**, Springer-Verlag, 1991.

[FRT] L.D. Faddeev, N. Yu. Reshetikhin and L.A. Takhtajan, *Quantization of Lie groups and Lie algebras*, Leningrad Math. J.**1** (1990), 193–225.

[H] Naihong Hu, *Quantum divided power algebra, q-derivatives, some new quantum groups*, J. Algebra **232** (2000), 507–540.

[HH] Hongmei Hu and Naihong Hu, *Double-bosonization and Majid's conjecture, (I): rank-inductions of ABCD*, J. Math. Phys. **56** (11) (2015), 111702-1, 16 pp.

[HH1] —, *Double-bosonization and Majid's conjecture, (IV): type-crossings from A to BCD*, Sci. China Math. (2016) (to appear), arXiv: 1505.02620.

[HLR] Naihong Hu, Yunnan Li and Marc Rosso, *Multi-parameter quantum groups via quantum quasi-symmetric algebras*, arXiv:1307.1381.

[HK] Jin Hong and Seok-Jin Kang, *Introduction to Quantum Groups and Crystal Bases*, Graduate Studies in Math. **42**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2002.

[HS] I. Heckenberger and H.-J. Schneider, *Yetter-Drinfeld modules over bosonizations of dually paired Hopf algebras*, Adv. in Math. **244** (2013), 354–394.

[J] J.C. Jantzen, *Lectures on Quantum Groups*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. **6**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1996.

[KS] A. Klimyk and K. Schmüdgen, *Quantum Groups and Their Representations*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, 1997.

[Ka1] M. Kashiwara, *Crystalizing the q-analogue of universal enveloping algebras*, Comm. Math. Phys. **133** (1990), 249–260.

[Ka2] —, *On crystal bases of the q-analogue of universal enveloping algebras*, Duke Math. J. **63** (1991), 465–516.

[Ka3] —, *Global crystal bases of quantum groups*, Duke Math. J. **69** (1993), 455–485.

[L] G. Lusztig, *Introduction to Quantum Groups*, Progress in Math. **110**, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1993.

[M1] S. Majid, *Double-bosonization of braided groups and the construction of* $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$, Math. Proc. Cambridge. Philos. Soc. **125** (1999), 151–192.

[M2] —, *Braided groups*, J. Pure and Applied Algebra **86** (1993), 187–221.

[M3] —, *Algebras and Hopf algebras in braided categories*, Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math. **158** (1994), 55–105.

[M4] —, *Braided momentum in the q-Poincare group*, J. Math. Phys. **34** (1993), 2045–2058.

[M5] —, *More examples of bicrossproduct and double cross product Hopf algebras*, Isra. J. Math. **72** (1990), 133–148.

[M6] —, *New quantum groups by double-bosonization*, Czechoslovak J. Phys. **47** (1) (1997), 79–90.

[M7] —, *Foundations of Guantum Group Theory*, Cambridge University Press, 1995.

[N] W.D. Nichols, *Bialgebras of type one*, Comm. Algebra **6** (15) (1978), 1521–1552.

[Ra] D. Radford, *Hopf algebras with projection*, J. Algebra **92** (1985), 322–347.

[Ra1] —, *Hopf Algebras*, Series on Knots and Everything, **49**, World Sci. Publ. Co. Pte. Ltd., Hackensack, NJ, 2012.

[Ro] M. Rosso, *Quantum groups and quantum shuffles*, Invent. Math. **133** (1998), 399–416.

[So] Y. Sommerhäuser, *Deformed enveloping algebras*, New York J. Math. **2** (1996), 35–58.

School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Science and Technology of China, Jin Zhai Road 96, Hefei 230026, PR China

E-mail address: hmhu@ustc.edu.cn

Department of Mathematics, Shanghai Key Laboratory of Pure Mathematics and Mathematical Practice, East China Normal University, Minhang Campus, Dong Chuan Road 500, Shanghai 200241, PR China

E-mail address: nhhu@math.ecnu.edu.cn